arXiv:1408.3241v2 [math.DG] 15 Sep 2014

CMC HIERARCHY II: NON-COMMUTING SYMMETRIES AND AFFINE KAC-MOODY ALGEBRA

JOE S. WANG

Abstract. We propose a further extension of the structure equation for a truncated CMC hierarchy by the non-commuting, truncated Virasoro algebra of non-local symmetries. Via a canonical dressing transformation, we first define the wave function for a truncated CMC hierarchy. This leads to a pair of additional formal Killing fields, and the corresponding spectral Killing field is defined by a purely algebraic formula up to an integrable extension. The extended CMC hierarchy is obtained by packaging these data into the associated affine Kac-Moody algebra valued Killing field equations. The log of tau function is defined as the central component of the affine extension of the spectral Killing field. We give a closed formula for tau function in terms of the determinant of the spectral Killing field.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Classical spectral symmetry 2
1.2. Spectral Killing field 3
1.3. Related works 3
1.4. Results 4
1.5. Contents 4
2. Formulas from Part I 5
2.1. Truncated CMC hierarchy 6
3. Dressing 7
3.1. Dressing transformation 7
3.2. Wave function 8
3.3. Normalization of $\mathbf{Z}$ 8
4. Additional Killing fields 9
4.1. Killing fields for $\check{\phi}$ 9
4.2. Killing fields for ϕ 12
5. Spectral Killing field 13
5.1. Inhomogeneous Killing field equation 14
5.2. Spectral identities 15
5.3. Spectral Killing field for $\check{\phi}$ 16

Date: September 16, 2014.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C43.
Key words and phrases. differential geometry, exterior differential system, CMC surface, dressing, wave function, spectral Killing field, integrable extension, affine Kac-Moody algebra, affine Killing field, tau function.

5.4. Spectral Killing field for $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ 16
6. Affine Killing fields 17
6.1. Affine Kac-Moody algebras 18
6.2. Affine lifts of Killing fields 19
7. Extension by non-commuting symmetries 20
7.1. Extension for $\mathbf{Y}$ 20
7.2. Compatibility 21
8. Extended CMC hierarchy 22
8.1. Definition 23
8.2. Notation 23
8.3. Extended structure equation for $\mathbf{Y}$ 24
8.4. Extended structure equation for $(u, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}})$ 24
8.5. Structure equation for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ 25
9. Additional affine Killing fields 25
9.1. $\det(\mathbf{Y})$ 25
9.2. Extended structure equation for $\mathbf{P}_{\pm}$ 26
9.3. Extended dressing 26
9.4. Additional Killing fields for $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ 27
9.5. Non-Abelian integrable extension for $\mathbf{p}$ 28
10. Extended CMC hierarchy 29
10.1. Formal sum 30
11. Proof of compatibility 30
11.1. $\mathrm{d}t_m \wedge \sigma_k$-terms 31
11.2. $\mathrm{d}t_m \wedge \overline{\sigma}_\ell$-terms 31
11.3. $\sigma_j \wedge \sigma_k$-terms 31
11.4. $\mathrm{d}\rho$ 32
11.5. $\mathrm{d}h_2$, and $\mathrm{d}\xi$ 32
12. Central extension 34
12.1. Central extension for $\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y}$ 34
12.2. Tau function 34
References 35

1. Introduction

This is the second part of the series on CMC hierarchy.

1.1. **Classical spectral symmetry.** In the previous work [2], an analysis of the infinite jet space of the differential system for CMC surfaces revealed the canonically defined notion of spectral weight. It was observed through various applications that the corresponding weighted homogeneity is an important and useful aspect of the structural property of the CMC system.

In order to give this notion a proper treatment, the associated classical **spectral symmetries** (vector fields) were introduced, for which the spectral weights are the weights under

Lie derivatives. The classical spectral symmetries are the non-local objects defined on an integrable extension, and by construction they form an affine space over the classical symmetries.

1.2. **Spectral Killing field.** In this paper, we show that there exist the higher-order spectral symmetries for a truncated[1] CMC hierarchy which are generated by **spectral Killing fields**. Similarly as the classical spectral symmetries, the spectral Killing fields form an affine space over the formal Killing fields. In fact, in terms of the Lie bracket relations with the formal Killing fields, we will be able to make a preferred choice of the normalized spectral Killing field.

The normalized spectral Killing field is another important invariant of a truncated CMC hierarchy. One of the main results of this paper is to give a concrete realization of the higher-order spectral symmetries generated by the normalized spectral Killing field as the pair of truncated Virasoro algebras of (non-commuting) symmetry vector fields on the formal moduli space of solutions to a truncated CMC hierarchy.

This is achieved by extending a truncated CMC hierarchy to a system of equations for the associated affine Kac-Moody algebra valued Killing fields. By a sequence of natural decompositions, similarly as in the AKS construction of the original CMC hierarchy, the normalized spectral Killing field provides the relevant deformation coefficients and in this sense generates the extension.

1.2.1. *Truncated CMC hierarchy.* To avoid some of the formal series vs. convergence related issues, and to stay within the realm of differential algebraic analysis, we shall truncate the time variables and work with a truncated CMC hierarchy, §2.1. The truncation integer parameter "N" also determines the corresponding truncation of the associated (centerless) Virasoro algebras, §6.1.1. See §10.1 for the related remarks.

1.3. **Related works.** The Virasoro algebra type of non-commuting symmetries for the integrable equations of KP type are discussed in [1] in the context of additional symmetries. For the more recent works, we refer to [14, 15] and the references therein.

For the physics literature on the extended symmetries of coset models, we refer to [8] and the references therein. For the string theory aspects of the extended symmetries of integrable equations, we refer to the survey [12]. Witten gave the original formulation of the conjecture that the partition function for a certain two dimensional model of quantum gravity is a tau-function for KdV hierarchy, which is subject to the additional Virasoro constraints, [13, 4]. The motivation for the ansatz for the affine extension of a truncated CMC hierarchy is drawn from [9].

Recently, Terng and Uhlenbeck gave a comprehensive analysis of the Virasoro symmetries for a class of matrix Lax equations, [10, 11]. The main difference is that they apply the loop group action based on loop group factorization, whereas we apply the dressing transformation based on loop algebra decomposition.

In [14] cited above, Wu gave a uniform construction of the tau functions for Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies via the certain generating functions of Hamiltonian densities. The

[1] See §1.2.1 below.

underlying idea of this construction agrees with our definition, Defn.12.1. For the related works, we refer to [6], [10, 11] and the references therein.

1.4. **Results.**

1.4.1. *Spectral Killing field.* Via a canonical dressing transformation, we define the wave function for a truncated CMC hierarchy, Defn.3.1. Based on this idea, we determine the space of (formal) solutions to the associated Killing field equation, Eq.(34), Thm.4.4. The Killing fields satisfy the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$-type of Lie bracket relations, and this observation leads to an algebraic formula (up to an integrable extension) for the normalized spectral Killing field, Prop.5.4.

1.4.2. *Extended CMC hierarchy.* The relevant Lie algebra for our analysis is the generalized affine Kac-Moody algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$, which is the twisted loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, (10), enhanced by the truncated Virasoro algebra of even derivations $\mathcal{V}\mathrm{ir}^{\pm}_{N+1}$, Defn.6.1. We propose an extension of a truncated CMC hierarchy in terms of the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$-valued affine Killing field equations, Defn.8.1.

The compatibility of the extended CMC hierarchy is proved by a direct computation, Thm.8.1. This in particular shows that the Virasoro symmetries commute with the higher-order symmetries of the CMC system. The compatibility also reflects that the differential algebraic relations among the formal Killing fields, the normalized spectral Killing field, and the Maurer-Cartan form for a truncated CMC hierarchy are consistent with the Lie algebra structure of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$.

1.4.3. *Tau function.* The log of tau function (denoted by τ) for the extended CMC hierarchy is defined as the central component of the affine extension of the spectral Killing field, Defn.12.1. We give a closed formula for $\log(\tau)$, Thm.12.2. It states that

$$\log(\tau) = \mathrm{Res}_{\lambda=0}\Big[\Big(\text{Killing field for Virasoro algebra}\Big) \times \Big(\det(\text{normalized spectral Killing field})\Big)\Big]. \tag{1}$$

Here $\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda=0}$ is the residue operator that takes the terms of λ-degree 0.

1.5. **Contents.** In §2, we give a summary of the relevant formulas from Part I. In §3, we introduce a canonical dressing transformation. In §4, we determine a pair of additional formal Killing fields. Based on this, in §5 we give an algebraic formula for the normalized spectral Killing field. In §6, we show that the original formal Killing field and the normalized spectral Killing field admit the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$-valued affine lifts. In §7, we examine an ansatz for the affine extension of the structure equation for the formal Killing field, and show that it is compatible. In §§8,9,10, we give a definition of the extended CMC hierarchy, and subsequently in §11 show that the extended structure equation is compatible. In §12, we give a closed formula for tau function in terms of the determinant of the normalized spectral Killing field.

2. Formulas from Part I

We recall the relevant formulas from Part I of the series. To avoid repetition, we only state the title of the formulas and refer the reader to Part I.

In the structure equations recorded below, the equality sign "=" would mean "≡" modulo the appropriate differential ideal. The meaning will be clear from the context, and we shall omit the specific description of the details.

[$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})[[\lambda]]$-valued formal Killing field]

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{i}\mathbf{a} & 2\mathbf{c} \\ 2\mathbf{b} & \mathrm{i}\mathbf{a} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{a} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2n} a^{2n+1}, \qquad \mathbf{b} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2n+1} b^{2n+2}, \qquad \mathbf{c} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2n+1} c^{2n+2}.$$

$$b^2 = -\mathrm{i}\gamma h_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad c^2 = \mathrm{i} h_2^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \det(\mathbf{Y}) = -4b^2c^2\lambda^2 = -4\gamma\lambda^2. \tag{3}$$

[Recursive structure equation for the coefficients of $\mathbf{Y}$ (for the CMC system)]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}a^{2n+1} &= (\mathrm{i}\gamma c^{2n+2} + \mathrm{i}h_2 b^{2n+2})\xi + (\mathrm{i}\gamma b^{2n} + \mathrm{i}\overline{h}_2 c^{2n})\overline{\xi}, \\ \mathrm{d}b^{2n+2} - \mathrm{i}b^{2n+2}\rho &= \frac{\mathrm{i}\gamma}{2} a^{2n+3}\xi + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\overline{h}_2 a^{2n+1}\overline{\xi}, \\ \mathrm{d}c^{2n+2} + \mathrm{i}c^{2n+2}\rho &= \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} h_2 a^{2n+3}\xi + \frac{\mathrm{i}\gamma}{2} a^{2n+1}\overline{\xi}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

[Decomposition of $\mathbf{Y}$]

$$\mathbf{Y} = 2\mathrm{i}\lambda^{2m+2}(U_m + U_{(m+1)}). \tag{5}$$

$$U_m = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{i}U_m^a & 2U_m^c \\ 2U_m^b & \mathrm{i}U_m^a \end{pmatrix},$$

$$U_m^a = \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda^{(2j+0)-(2m+2)} a^{2j+1}, \quad U_m^c = \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda^{(2j+1)-(2m+2)} c^{2j+2},$$

$$U_m^b = \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda^{(2j+1)-(2m+2)} b^{2j+2}.$$

[$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})[[\lambda^{-1},\lambda]]$-valued Maurer-Cartan form]

$$\phi_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & -\frac{1}{2}\gamma\overline{\xi} \\ \frac{1}{2}\overline{h}_2\overline{\xi} & \cdot \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \phi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\rho & \cdot \\ \cdot & -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\rho \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \phi_- = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & -\frac{1}{2}h_2\xi \\ \frac{1}{2}\gamma\xi & \cdot \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

$$\phi_\lambda = \lambda\phi_+ + \phi_0 + \lambda^{-1}\phi_- = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\rho & -\lambda\frac{1}{2}\gamma\overline{\xi} - \lambda^{-1}\frac{1}{2}h_2\xi \\ \lambda\frac{1}{2}\overline{h}_2\overline{\xi} + \lambda^{-1}\frac{1}{2}\gamma\xi & -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\rho \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\boldsymbol{\phi} = \boldsymbol{\phi}_+ + \boldsymbol{\phi}_0 + \boldsymbol{\phi}_- := -\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \overline{U}_m^t \mathrm{d}t_{\overline{m}} + \phi_0 + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} U_m \mathrm{d}t_m \tag{7}$$
$$= -\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{U}_m^t \mathrm{d}t_{\overline{m}} + \phi_\lambda + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} U_m \mathrm{d}t_m.$$

[Structure equation for CMC hierarchy]

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\xi - \mathrm{i}\rho\wedge\xi &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a^{2m+3}\mathrm{d}t_m\wedge\xi, \\ \mathrm{d}\overline{\xi} + \mathrm{i}\rho\wedge\overline{\xi} &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{a}^{2m+3}\mathrm{d}\overline{t}_m\wedge\overline{\xi}, \\ \mathrm{d}\rho &\equiv R\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\xi\wedge\overline{\xi} \quad \mod \mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}, \mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{t}}, \\ \mathrm{d}h_2 + 2\mathrm{i}h_2\rho &= h_3\xi - 2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} h_2 a^{2m+3}\mathrm{d}t_m, \\ \mathrm{d}\overline{h}_2 - 2\mathrm{i}\overline{h}_2\rho &= \overline{h}_3\overline{\xi} - 2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{h}_2\overline{a}^{2m+3}\mathrm{d}\overline{t}_m. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Y} + [\boldsymbol{\phi}, \mathbf{Y}] = 0, \tag{9}$$
$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\phi} + \boldsymbol{\phi}\wedge\boldsymbol{\phi} = 0.$$

[Twisted loop algebra]

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}),$$
$$\mathfrak{g}((\lambda)) := \{\mathfrak{g}\text{-valued formal Laurent series in } \lambda\},$$
$$\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{g}} := \left\{ h(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{g}((\lambda)) \mid h_1^1(\lambda) = -h_2^2(\lambda) \text{ is even in } \lambda; h_2^1(\lambda), h_1^2(\lambda) \text{ are odd in } \lambda \right\}. \tag{10}$$

[Decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$]

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{g}} & = & \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq -1} & +^{vs} & \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} \\ & \subset & \mathfrak{g}[\lambda^{-1}]\lambda^{-1} & +^{vs} & \mathfrak{g}[[\lambda]]. \end{array} \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{g}}^* = \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{g}} & = & \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 1} & +^{vs} & \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0} \\ & \subset & \mathfrak{g}[[\lambda]]\lambda & +^{vs} & \mathfrak{g}[\lambda^{-1}]. \end{array} \tag{12}$$

[Lie groups]

$\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G}_{\leq -1}, \boldsymbol{G}_{\geq 1}, \boldsymbol{G}_{\leq 0}, \boldsymbol{G}_{\geq 0}$: (formal) Lie groups for $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{g}}, \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq -1}, \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 1}, \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0}, \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0}$.

2.1. **Truncated CMC hierarchy.** The construction of the extended CMC hierarchy will require that the base CMC hierarchy is $\overline{\mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{t}$-truncated as follows; once and for all, set the non-negative integer $N \geq 0$ and assume

$$\boxed{\overline{t}_m, t_m = 0, \quad \forall\, m \geq N+1.}$$

Under this condition, the CMC hierarchy is called $\overline{t}_N, t_N$-*truncated*. From now on, we will only consider the truncated CMC hierarchy.

Note in this case that the λ-degree of $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ is bounded in the interval

$$[-(2N+1), 2N+1].$$

3. Dressing

In this section, we start by introducing a canonical dressing transformation which renders the CMC hierarchy into a completely integrable (Frobenius) system of constant coefficient linear partial differential equations, see [7] for the related work on KP hierarchy. This leads to the wave function for the CMC hierarchy.

The wave function formulation will play an important role in finding the additional Killing fields, §4.

3.1. **Dressing transformation.** Consider the decomposition (5) of the formal Killing field $\mathbf{Y}$, and the formula (7) for the Maurer-Cartan form $\boldsymbol{\phi}$.

3.1.1. *Maurer-Cartan form for dressing.* Set

$$\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} := \boldsymbol{\phi}_+ + \boldsymbol{\phi}_0 - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} U_{(m+1)} \mathrm{d}t_m. \tag{13}$$

Note that $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ is $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}$-valued. By (5), we have the identity

$$\boldsymbol{\phi} - \check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = \mathbf{Y}\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \tag{14}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} := \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-(2m+2)} \mathrm{d}t_m.$$

Note that $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\alpha} = 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *The $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}$-valued 1-form $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation*

$$\mathrm{d}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} + \check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \wedge \check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = 0.$$

Proof. From the equation $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = \boldsymbol{\phi} - \mathbf{Y}\boldsymbol{\alpha}$,

$$\mathrm{d}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} + \check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \wedge \check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = (-\boldsymbol{\phi} \wedge \boldsymbol{\phi} + (\boldsymbol{\phi}\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}\boldsymbol{\phi}) \wedge \boldsymbol{\alpha}) + (\boldsymbol{\phi} \wedge \boldsymbol{\phi} - \boldsymbol{\phi} \wedge \mathbf{Y}\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{Y}\boldsymbol{\alpha} \wedge \boldsymbol{\phi}) = 0.$$

□

3.1.2. *Dressing transformation.* Let S be a $\boldsymbol{G}_{\geq 0}$-valued frame for $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$, which satisfies the equation[2]

$$S^{-1}\mathrm{d}S = \check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}.$$

The frame S is determined up to left multiplication by $\boldsymbol{G}_{\geq 0}$.

Consider the dressing transformation of the Maurer-Cartan form $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ by the $\boldsymbol{G}_{\geq 0}$-frame S^{-1}. We have

$$\begin{aligned} S\boldsymbol{\phi}S^{-1} - (\mathrm{d}S)S^{-1} &= S\left(\boldsymbol{\phi} - S^{-1}\mathrm{d}S\right)S^{-1} \\ &= S\left(\boldsymbol{\phi} - \check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\right)S^{-1} \\ &= S\mathbf{Y}S^{-1}\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where $\mathbf{Z} := S\mathbf{Y}S^{-1}$.

[2]Here we need the assumption that the CMC hierarchy is $\bar{\mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{t}$-truncated.

Lemma 3.2. *Under the dressing by S^{-1},*

a) the structure equation for the CMC hierarchy transforms as

$$\mathrm{d}W = W\boldsymbol{\phi} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathrm{d}w = w\mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\alpha}.$$

b) the Killing field equation transforms as

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{P} + [\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{P}] = 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{Q} + [\mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{Q}] = 0.$$

c) the formal Killing field $\boldsymbol{Y}$ transforms to a constant element $\mathbf{Z} = S\boldsymbol{Y}S^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}$.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{Y}$ satisfies the Killing field equation $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Y} + [\boldsymbol{\phi}, \mathbf{Y}] = 0$, $\mathbf{Z}$ satisfies the dressed equation

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Z} + [\mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{Z}] = \mathrm{d}\mathbf{Z} = 0.$$

Note $\mathbf{Y}|_{\lambda=0} = 0$, and hence $\mathbf{Z}|_{\lambda=0} = 0$. □

Note that, since S is determined up to left multiplication by $\boldsymbol{G}_{\geq 0}$, $\mathbf{Z}$ is determined up to conjugation by $\boldsymbol{G}_{\geq 0}$.

Remark 3.3.

$$\det(\boldsymbol{Z}) = \det(\boldsymbol{Y}) = -4\gamma\lambda^2. \tag{16}$$

3.2. **Wave function.** Let

$$\mathtt{t} := \int \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-(2m+2)} t_m. \tag{17}$$

Set

$$\zeta := \int \mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{Z}\mathtt{t} \tag{18}$$

be an anti-derivative for $\mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Note $\partial_{\bar{t}_n}\zeta = 0, \ \forall\, n \geq 0$.

Definition 3.1. The **wave function** (or Baker-Akhiezer function) for the CMC hierarchy is

$$W := e^{\zeta} S.$$

Theorem 3.4. *The wave function W satisfies the structure equation for the CMC hierarchy,*

$$\mathrm{d}W = W\boldsymbol{\phi}. \tag{19}$$

Proof. The exponential factor e^{ζ} is a solution to the dressed structure equation (by S^{-1}),

$$\mathrm{d}e^{\zeta} = e^{\zeta}\mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\alpha}.$$

□

3.3. **Normalization of Z.** We record the asymptotics of the dressing matrix S and the dressed formal Killing field $\mathbf{Z}$ at $\lambda = 0$. This will lead to a normalization of $\mathbf{Z}$.

3.3.1. *Asymptotics.* From (13) and the structure equation (8), one finds that

$$\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}|_{\lambda=0} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}\log(h_2^{-\frac{1}{4}}) & \cdot \\ \cdot & \mathrm{d}\log(h_2^{+\frac{1}{4}}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the expansion

$$S = \left(I_2 + S_1\lambda + O(\lambda^2)\right)\begin{pmatrix} h_2^{-\frac{1}{4}} & \cdot \\ \cdot & h_2^{+\frac{1}{4}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_2 denotes the 2-by-2 identity matrix. Substitute this to $\mathbf{Z} = S\mathbf{Y}S^{-1}$, and one finds

$$\mathbf{Z} = Z\lambda + O(\lambda^2),$$

where

$$Z := \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & 2\mathrm{i} \\ -2\mathrm{i}\gamma & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$

is the leading coefficient matrix. The constants $2\mathrm{i}, -2\mathrm{i}\gamma$ in Z follow from (3).

3.3.2. *Normalization of $\boldsymbol{Z}$.* Recall that $\mathbf{Z}$ is determined up to conjugation by $\boldsymbol{G}_{\geq 0}$. Since the leading coefficient matrix Z is non-degenerate, one may normalize $\mathbf{Z}$ by the formal adjoint action by $\boldsymbol{G}_{\geq 1}$ (to keep the leading term Z unchanged) such that

$$\mathbf{Z} = Z\lambda. \tag{20}$$

We assume this normalization of $\mathbf{Z}$ from now on.

4. Additional Killing fields

We determine a pair of (formal) solutions to the Killing field equation for each $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ via an elementary ansatz based on the eigen-decomposition for the adjoint operator $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathbf{Y}}$.

The formal Killing field $\mathbf{Y}$ and these additional Killing fields satisfy the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$-type of Lie bracket relations, (23), (36). This will lead to an essentially algebraic formula for the normalized spectral Killing field, §5.

4.1. **Killing fields for $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$.** We first determine the Killing fields for $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$. The Killing fields for $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ will be obtained from these by a certain linear transformation.

Observe that

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Y} + [\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \mathbf{Y}] = \mathrm{d}\mathbf{Y} + [\boldsymbol{\phi} - \mathbf{Y}\alpha, \mathbf{Y}] = 0, \tag{21}$$

and $\mathbf{Y}$ is also a Killing field for $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$.

4.1.1. *Ansatz.* Considering the eigen-matrices of the operator $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathbf{Y}}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, set

$$\mathbf{V}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 2u\mathbf{bc} & \mathrm{i}u\mathbf{ac} - 2\sqrt{\gamma}v\mathbf{c} \\ \mathrm{i}u\mathbf{ab} + 2\sqrt{\gamma}v\mathbf{b} & -2u\mathbf{bc} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{22}$$

$$\mathbf{V}_- = \begin{pmatrix} 2v\mathbf{bc} & \mathrm{i}v\mathbf{ac} - 2\sqrt{\gamma}u\mathbf{c}\lambda^2 \\ \mathrm{i}v\mathbf{ab} + 2\sqrt{\gamma}u\mathbf{b}\lambda^2 & -2v\mathbf{bc} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The coefficients u, v, which are to be determined, are $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda^2]]$-valued (even) functions.

The set of $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}$-valued functions $\{\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{V}_\pm\}$ satisfy the following Lie-bracket relations, which resemble the structure equation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$:

$$[\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{V}_+] = 4\sqrt{\gamma}\mathbf{V}_-, \qquad [\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{V}_-] = 4\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda^2\mathbf{V}_+, \tag{23}$$
$$[\mathbf{V}_+, \mathbf{V}_-] = \mu\sqrt{\gamma}\mathbf{Y},$$

where

$$\mu = 4\mathbf{bc}(v^2 - u^2\lambda^2).$$

It will be shown that μ is necessarily a constant element in $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda^2]]$.

Note also that

$$\det(\mathbf{V}_+) = 4\gamma\mathbf{bc}(v^2 - u^2\lambda^2), \qquad \det(\mathbf{V}_-) = -4\gamma\mathbf{bc}\lambda^2(v^2 - u^2\lambda^2).$$

Remark 4.1. *Note* $\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{V}_\pm), \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{V}_+\boldsymbol{V}_-) = 0$.

4.1.2. *Associated linear differential system.* Substitute (22) to the Killing field equation for $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$,

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{V}_\pm + [\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \mathbf{V}_\pm] = 0.$$

After collecting terms, this reduces to the following linear system of differential equations for (u, v):

$$\mathrm{d}(u, v) = (u, v)\Omega, \tag{24}$$

where

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{\mathbf{bc}}\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\mathbf{a}\left(\mathbf{b}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_2^1 - \mathbf{c}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_1^2\right) & -\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda^2\left(\mathbf{b}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_2^1 + \mathbf{c}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_1^2\right) \\ -\sqrt{\gamma}\left(\mathbf{b}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_2^1 + \mathbf{c}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_1^2\right) & \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\mathbf{a}\left(\mathbf{b}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_2^1 - \mathbf{c}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_1^2\right) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{25}$$

Here $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_j^i$ denotes the (i, j)-component of $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$.

It is easily checked from this that $\mathrm{d}\mu = 0$.

We proceed to solve (24). The matrix valued 1-form Ω can be decomposed as

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdot \\ \cdot & 1 \end{pmatrix}\boldsymbol{\theta}^+ + \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & 1 \\ \lambda^{-2} & \cdot \end{pmatrix}\boldsymbol{\theta}^-,$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^+ := \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\mathbf{a}\left(\frac{\mathbf{b}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_2^1 - \mathbf{c}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_1^2}{\mathbf{bc}}\right), \tag{26}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^- := -\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda^2\left(\frac{\mathbf{b}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_2^1 + \mathbf{c}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_1^2}{\mathbf{bc}}\right).$$

Note that $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\pm}$ are both even as a function of λ.

Eq.(21) and the compatibility of $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ show that (we omit the details of computation)

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\pm} = 0.$$

Since $\Omega\wedge\Omega = 0$ and $[\Omega, \int\Omega] = 0$, consider

$$g = \exp(\int \Omega).$$

Then the matrix valued function g solves the equation

$$\mathrm{d}g = g\Omega.$$

Up to left multiplication of g by $\boldsymbol{G}_{\geq 0}$, the desired 2-dimensional space of solutions (u, v) for (24) are generated by the rows of g.

Set

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\pm} := \int \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\pm}.$$

Then it is easily checked that

$$g = \exp(\int \Omega) = e^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{+}} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\lambda^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-}) & \lambda \sinh(\lambda^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-}) \\ \lambda^{-1}\sinh(\lambda^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-}) & \cosh(\lambda^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{27}$$

4.1.3. *Local function $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{+}$.*

Lemma 4.2.

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{+} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{d}\log(\boldsymbol{bc}).$$

Proof. It follows from (21). □

Hence, up to translating $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{+}$ by a constant element in $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda^2]]$, we may set

$$e^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{+}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mathbf{bc}}}$$

so that

$$4e^{2\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{+}}\mathbf{bc} = 1. \tag{28}$$

We assume this normalization of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{+}$ from now on.

4.1.4. *Non-local function $\boldsymbol{p}$.* Let us put $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-} = -\lambda^2\mathbf{p}$, where

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p} := \sqrt{\gamma}\left(\frac{\mathbf{b}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_2^1 + \mathbf{c}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_1^2}{\mathbf{bc}}\right). \tag{29}$$

Expanding as a series in λ, one finds

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p} = \left(\frac{(h_2 b_4 - \gamma c_4)\,\xi}{2\sqrt{\gamma}} + \frac{\mathrm{i}\left(h_2\overline{h}_2 + \gamma^2\right)\overline{\xi}}{2\sqrt{\gamma}h_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) + O(\lambda^2) \mod \mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}, \mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{t}}.$$

This suggests that $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}$ contains all the higher-order conservation laws, and hence that $\mathbf{p}$ is indeed a non-local $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda^2]]$-valued function.

4.1.5. *Formulas for $V_\pm$.* For definiteness, set

(30)
$$\mathbf{V}_+ := e^{\sigma^+}\begin{bmatrix} 2\sinh(\lambda\mathbf{p})\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{bc} & 2\sqrt{\gamma}\cosh(\lambda\mathbf{p})\,\mathbf{c} + \mathrm{i}\sinh(\lambda\mathbf{p})\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{ac} \\ -2\sqrt{\gamma}\cosh(\lambda\mathbf{p})\,\mathbf{b} + \mathrm{i}\sinh(\lambda\mathbf{p})\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{ab} & -2\sinh(\lambda\mathbf{p})\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{bc} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{V}_- := e^{\sigma^+}\begin{bmatrix} -2\cosh(\lambda\mathbf{p})\,\mathbf{bc} & -2\sqrt{\gamma}\sinh(\lambda\mathbf{p})\,\lambda\mathbf{c} - \mathrm{i}\cosh(\lambda\mathbf{p})\,\mathbf{ac} \\ 2\sqrt{\gamma}\sinh(\lambda\mathbf{p})\,\lambda\mathbf{b} - \mathrm{i}\cosh(\lambda\mathbf{p})\,\mathbf{ab} & 2\cosh(\lambda\mathbf{p})\,\mathbf{bc} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Summarizing the analysis so far, the Killing fields for $\check{\phi}$ are determined as follows.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $V_\pm$ be given by* (30)*. Then they satisfy the Killing field equation for $\check{\phi}$,*

$$\mathrm{d}V_\pm + [\check{\phi}, V_\pm] = 0.$$

The set of three Killing fields $\{Y, V_\pm\}$ generates the space of $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}$-valued Killing fields for $\check{\phi}$.

Note that $\mathbf{V}_+$ is $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}$-valued, and $\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{V}_-$ are $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}$ valued.

4.1.6. *Algebraic identities.* Note the following Lie-bracket relations,

(31)
$$[\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{V}_+] = 4\sqrt{\gamma}\mathbf{V}_-, \qquad [\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{V}_-] = 4\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda^2\mathbf{V}_+,$$
$$[\mathbf{V}_+, \mathbf{V}_-] = (4e^{2\sigma^+}\mathbf{bc})\sqrt{\gamma}\mathbf{Y} = \sqrt{\gamma}\mathbf{Y}.$$

In fact, these are implied by the following equations,

(32)
$$\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{V}_+ = -\mathbf{V}_+\mathbf{Y} = 2\sqrt{\gamma}\mathbf{V}_-, \qquad \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{V}_- = -\mathbf{V}_-\mathbf{Y} = 2\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda^2\mathbf{V}_+,$$
$$\mathbf{V}_+\mathbf{V}_- = -\mathbf{V}_-\mathbf{V}_+ = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\gamma}\mathbf{Y}.$$

Note the determinant formulas,

(33)
$$\det(\mathbf{V}_+) = \gamma, \qquad \det(\mathbf{V}_-) = -\gamma\lambda^2,$$
$$\det(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{a}^2 - 4\mathbf{bc} = -4\gamma\lambda^2.$$

Note also the trace relations

$$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{V}_\pm),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{V}_+\mathbf{V}_-) = 0.$$

4.2. **Killing fields for ϕ.** A generating set of the (formal) solutions to the Killing field equation for ϕ can be obtained from $\{\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{V}_\pm\}$ by a linear transformation.

Recall

$$\mathbf{t} = \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\lambda^{-(2m+2)}t_m = \int \boldsymbol{\alpha}.$$

Set

(34)
$$\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{P}_+ \\ \mathbf{P}_-\end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix}\cosh(4\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda\mathbf{t}) & -\sinh(4\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda\mathbf{t})\lambda^{-1} \\ -\sinh(4\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda\mathbf{t})\lambda & \cosh(4\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda\mathbf{t})\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{V}_+ \\ \mathbf{V}_-\end{pmatrix}.$$

A direct computation shows that they satisfy the Killing field equation for ϕ.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\boldsymbol{P}_\pm$ be given by* (34)*. Then they satisfy (formally) the Killing field equation for $\boldsymbol{\phi}$,*

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{P}_\pm + [\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{P}_\pm] = 0.$$

The set of three Killing fields $\{\boldsymbol{Y}, \boldsymbol{P}_\pm\}$ generates the space of (formal) Killing fields for $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ which are of the form

$$\boldsymbol{Q}_0 + \cosh(4\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda \mathtt{t})\boldsymbol{Q}_+ + \sinh(4\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda \mathtt{t})\lambda \boldsymbol{Q}_-, \tag{35}$$

where $\boldsymbol{Q}_0, \boldsymbol{Q}_\pm$ are $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}$-valued.

We omit the details of proof.

4.2.1. *Algebraic identities.* The Lie-bracket relations among $\{\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{P}_\pm\}$ are identical to (31),

$$[\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{P}_+] = 4\sqrt{\gamma}\mathbf{P}_-, \qquad [\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{P}_-] = 4\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda^2\mathbf{P}_+, \tag{36}$$
$$[\mathbf{P}_+, \mathbf{P}_-] = \sqrt{\gamma}\mathbf{Y}.$$

We also have,

$$\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{P}_+ = -\mathbf{P}_+\mathbf{Y} = 2\sqrt{\gamma}\mathbf{P}_-, \qquad \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{P}_- = -\mathbf{P}_-\mathbf{Y} = 2\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda^2\mathbf{P}_+, \tag{37}$$
$$\mathbf{P}_+\mathbf{P}_- = -\mathbf{P}_-\mathbf{P}_+ = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\gamma}\mathbf{Y}.$$

Note the determinant formulas,

$$\det(\mathbf{P}_+) = \gamma, \qquad \det(\mathbf{P}_-) = -\gamma\lambda^2, \tag{38}$$
$$\det(\mathbf{Y}) = -4\gamma\lambda^2.$$

Note also the trace relations

$$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{P}_\pm),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{P}_+\mathbf{P}_-) = 0.$$

5. Spectral Killing field

Due to the terms $\cosh(4\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda\mathtt{t}), \sinh(4\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda\mathtt{t})$, the Killing fields $\mathbf{P}_\pm$ for $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ are formally defined objects and it is not obvious how to draw a geometrically meaningful conclusion from them.

In this section, we introduce the non-local, quasi-Killing fields for $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ called *spectral Killing fields*, by utilizing the weighted homogeneous property of the CMC system with respect to the spectral parameter λ. A spectral Killing field, denoted by $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$, is defined by the inhomogeneous Killing field equation (39) given below.

Unlike $\mathbf{P}_\pm$, on which the construction of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ will be based, it will be shown that a suitably normalized spectral Killing field, defined by (42), is a well defined $\mathfrak{g}$-valued function; when expanded as a formal Laurent series in λ, it admits a finite expression for each coefficient.

Let us introduce a relevant notation. Let

$$\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{L}_{\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}}$$

be the Euler operator with respect to the spectral parameter λ. For a scalar function, or a differential form A, the notation $\dot{A}$ (upper-dot) would mean the application of the Euler operator,

$$\dot{A} = \mathcal{D}(A).$$

5.1. **Inhomogeneous Killing field equation.** Consider the inhomogeneous Killing field equation

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} + [\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}] = \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}. \tag{39}$$

For the sake of notation, let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_+^{(\infty)}$ denote the infinite jet space of the CMC hierarchy.[3]

Definition 5.1. A **spectral Killing field** is a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued function

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} : \hat{\mathcal{F}}_+^{(\infty)} \to \mathfrak{g},$$

which satisfies the inhomogeneous Killing field equation (39). By definition, the space of spectral Killing fields is an affine space over the space of Killing fields for $\boldsymbol{\phi}$.

The term spectral Killing field, although such $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is not exactly a Killing field, is justified by the following observation; since the λ-degree of $\boldsymbol{\phi}$, and hence of $\dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$, is bounded from above by $2N+1$, the components of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ of λ-degree $\geq 2N+2$ do satisfy a version of the recursive structure equations (4) for the ordinary Killing fields.

Remark 5.1. *Compare this definition of the* higher-order *spectral Killing fields with that of the* classical *spectral symmetries adopted in* [2, §12]. *The space of classical spectral symmetries is by definition an affine space over the space of classical symmetries.*

It follows that, by a similar argument as for the formal Killing field $\mathbf{Y}$, a spectral Killing field contains an infinite sequence of the corresponding spectral Jacobi fields.

On the other hand, the presence of the intermediate part of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ (of λ-degree $\leq 2N+1$) will later re-emerge and impose the constraints on the Virasoro algebras on which the further extension of the CMC hierarchy will be based; it requires that the corresponding Virasoro algebras are truncated from below, §6.1.1.

5.1.1. *Motivation.* The basis for introducing Eq.(39) is the following observation.

Lemma 5.2. *Consider the inhomogeneous Killing field equation for a given 1-form $\boldsymbol{\omega}$:*

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} + [\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}] = \boldsymbol{\omega}.$$

Then this equation for $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is compatible whenever

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} + [\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\omega}] = \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} + \boldsymbol{\phi} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \wedge \boldsymbol{\phi} = 0.$$

Proof. Direct computation. □

For the proposed spectral Killing field equation ($\boldsymbol{\omega} = \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$), note that

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\phi} + \boldsymbol{\phi} \wedge \boldsymbol{\phi} = 0 \quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \quad \mathrm{d}\dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}} + \boldsymbol{\phi} \wedge \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}} + \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \wedge \boldsymbol{\phi} = 0,$$

and the compatibility condition is satisfied.

[3] Recall from Part I that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_+^{(\infty)} = \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{(\infty)} \times \{\bar{t}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \times \{t_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$.

5.2. **Spectral identities.** We record the characteristic properties of the spectral Killing fields. Let D denote the differential operator for the Killing field equation for $\boldsymbol{\phi}$:

$$\mathrm{D}(\cdot) := \mathrm{d}(\cdot) + [\boldsymbol{\phi}, (\cdot)].$$

Recall $\mathcal{D} = \lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}$ is the Euler operator.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ be a spectral Killing field.*

a) Let $\boldsymbol{P}$ be a Killing field for $\boldsymbol{\phi}$. Consider the Lie bracket $[\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}]$. Then

$$\mathrm{D}([\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}]) = [\boldsymbol{P}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}].$$

b) Note that

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{P} + [\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{P}] = 0 \quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \quad \mathrm{D}(\dot{\boldsymbol{P}}) = \mathrm{d}\dot{\boldsymbol{P}} + [\boldsymbol{\phi}, \dot{\boldsymbol{P}}] = [\boldsymbol{P}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}]. \tag{40}$$

By Thm.4.4, this implies,

$$[\boldsymbol{Y}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}] \equiv \dot{\boldsymbol{Y}}, \qquad [\boldsymbol{P}_\pm, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}] \equiv \dot{\boldsymbol{P}}_\pm \mod \boldsymbol{Y}, \boldsymbol{P}_\pm{}^4. \tag{41}$$

c) Conversely, suppose a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued function $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ satisfies the three algebraic relations (41)*. Then $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is a spectral Killing field.*

Proof. a), b) By Thm.4.4, the vector space of Killing fields for $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ is spanned by $\{\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{P}_\pm\}$. The rest follows by a direct computation.

c) Differentiate the algebraic relations, and Eq.(40) gives

$$[\mathbf{Y}, \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} - \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] = 0, \qquad [\mathbf{P}_\pm, \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} - \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] = 0.$$

This forces $\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} = \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$. □

The identity (40) allows one to algebraically solve for a particular spectral Killing field.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ be given by*

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} := c_0 \operatorname{ad}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(\dot{\boldsymbol{Y}}) + c_+ \operatorname{ad}_{\boldsymbol{P}_+}(\dot{\boldsymbol{P}}_+) + c_- \operatorname{ad}_{\boldsymbol{P}_-}(\dot{\boldsymbol{P}}_-), \tag{42}$$

where

$$c_0 = +\frac{1}{32\gamma}\lambda^{-2}, \quad c_+ = -\frac{1}{32\gamma e^{2\sigma^+}\boldsymbol{bc}} = -\frac{1}{8\gamma}, \quad c_- = +\frac{1}{32\gamma e^{2\sigma^+}\boldsymbol{bc}}\lambda^{-2} = \frac{1}{8\gamma}\lambda^{-2}.$$

Then $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is a spectral Killing field.

Proof. We directly compute $\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ from the given formula. By (40),

$$\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} = c_0 \operatorname{ad}^2_{\mathbf{Y}}(\dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}) + c_+ \operatorname{ad}^2_{\mathbf{P}_+}(\dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}) + c_- \operatorname{ad}^2_{\mathbf{P}_-}(\dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}).$$

The claim $\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} = \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ follows from the operator identity

$$c_0 \operatorname{ad}^2_{\mathbf{Y}} + c_+ \operatorname{ad}^2_{\mathbf{P}_+} + c_- \operatorname{ad}^2_{\mathbf{P}_-} = 1_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

□

[4] Here "mod $\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{P}_\pm$" means modulo the vector space of Killing fields generated by $\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{P}_\pm$.

Definition 5.2. The spectral Killing field defined by (42) is the **normalized** spectral Killing field.

This choice of terminology is based on the Lie bracket relations (47) given below. From now on, we will only consider the normalized spectral Killing field.

5.3. **Spectral Killing field for $\check{\phi}$.** The spectral Killing field $\mathcal{S}$ admits an alternative formula in terms of $\{\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{V}_\pm\}$, which is suitable for application.

Consider

$$\check{\mathcal{S}} := c_0 \operatorname{ad}_{\mathbf{Y}}(\dot{\mathbf{Y}}) + c_+ \operatorname{ad}_{\mathbf{V}_+}(\dot{\mathbf{V}}_+) + c_- \operatorname{ad}_{\mathbf{V}_-}(\dot{\mathbf{V}}_-). \tag{43}$$

Note that, since $\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{V}_-$ are $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}$-valued and $\mathbf{V}_+$ is $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}$-valued, $\check{\mathcal{S}}$ is $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}$-valued.

By the similar argument as before, we have the equations

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{d}\check{\mathcal{S}} + [\check{\phi}, \check{\mathcal{S}}] = \dot{\check{\phi}}, \\ &[\mathbf{Y}, \check{\mathcal{S}}] \equiv \dot{\mathbf{Y}}, \qquad [\mathbf{V}_\pm, \check{\mathcal{S}}] \equiv \dot{\mathbf{V}}_\pm \mod \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{V}_\pm. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

In fact, we have the following exact formulas.

Lemma 5.5. *Recall the normalization $4e^{\sigma^+}\boldsymbol{bc} = 1$. Under this condition,*

$$\begin{aligned} &[V_+, \check{\mathcal{S}}] - \dot{V}_+ = 0, \qquad [V_-, \check{\mathcal{S}}] - \dot{V}_- = -V_-, \\ &[Y, \check{\mathcal{S}}] - \dot{Y} = -Y. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Proof. Applying the Jacobi identity to $[[\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{V}_\pm], \check{\mathcal{S}}]$, $[[\mathbf{V}_+, \mathbf{V}_-], \check{\mathcal{S}}]$, one gets six linearly independent relations. Eq.(43) gives the remaining three relations. □

5.4. **Spectral Killing field for ϕ.** By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\check{\phi}} &= \mathrm{d}\check{\mathcal{S}} + [\check{\phi}, \check{\mathcal{S}}] \\ &= \mathrm{d}\check{\mathcal{S}} + [\phi - \mathbf{Y}\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \check{\mathcal{S}}] \\ &= \mathrm{d}\check{\mathcal{S}} + [\phi, \check{\mathcal{S}}] - [\mathbf{Y}, \check{\mathcal{S}}]\boldsymbol{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (45), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{D}\check{\mathcal{S}} &= \dot{\check{\phi}} + [\mathbf{Y}, \check{\mathcal{S}}]\boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ &= \dot{\check{\phi}} + (\dot{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y})\boldsymbol{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\mathbf{Y}\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \phi - \check{\phi} \quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \quad (\dot{\mathbf{Y}}\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \dot{\phi} - \dot{\check{\phi}},$$

after substitution one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{D}\check{\mathcal{S}} &= \dot{\check{\phi}} + (\dot{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y})\boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ &= \dot{\phi} - (\dot{\mathbf{Y}}\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathbf{Y}\dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) + (\dot{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y})\boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ &= \dot{\phi} - (\dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} + \boldsymbol{\alpha})\mathbf{Y}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{S} \equiv \left(\int \left(\dot{\alpha} + \alpha \right) \right) \mathbf{Y} + \check{\mathcal{S}}, \quad \mod \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{P}_{\pm}.$$

Theorem 5.6. *Suppose the normalization of* σ^+ *by* $4e^{\sigma^+} \boldsymbol{bc} = 1$. *Then the spectral Killing field* (42) *can be written in terms of* $\{Y, V_{\pm}\}$ *as*

$$\mathcal{S} = \left(\dot{\mathbf{t}} + \mathbf{t} \right) Y + \check{\mathcal{S}}. \tag{46}$$

Here the scalar function $\dot{\mathbf{t}}$ *is given by* $\dot{\mathbf{t}} = \int \dot{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-2m-2) \lambda^{-(2m+2)} t_m$, *and hence*

$$\dot{\mathbf{t}} + \mathbf{t} = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (2m+1) \lambda^{-(2m+2)} t_m.$$

Note that $\mathcal{S}$ *is* $\mathfrak{g}$*-valued.*

Proof. Substitute (34) to (42). □

5.4.1. *Spectral identities.* We record the analogue of Lem. 5.5 for $\{\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{P}_{\pm}\}$.

Lemma 5.7. *Recall the normalization* $4e^{\sigma^+} \boldsymbol{bc} = 1$. *Under this condition,*

$$[P_+, \mathcal{S}] - \dot{P}_+ = 0, \qquad [P_-, \mathcal{S}] - \dot{P}_- = -P_-, \tag{47}$$

$$[Y, \mathcal{S}] - \dot{Y} = -Y.$$

The last equation for $[\mathbf{Y}, \mathcal{S}]$ can be rewritten as;

$$\boxed{[\mathcal{S}, \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y}] + \dot{(\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y})} = 0.} \tag{48}$$

This formula will be important for the further extension of the Maurer-Cartan form $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ to the associated affine Kac-Moody algebra valued 1-form, in order to incorporate the spectral symmetries for the construction of the extended CMC hierarchy.

5.4.2. $\det \mathcal{S}$. Note from (39) that

$$\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{S}^2) = (\mathrm{d}\mathcal{S})\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{d}\mathcal{S}) = \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{S}\dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}.$$

Here we used the identity $\mathcal{S}^2 + \det(\mathcal{S}) I_2 = 0$, for $\mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{S}) = 0$. Take the trace, and one finds

$$\mathrm{d}(\det \mathcal{S}) = -\mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{S}\dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}). \tag{49}$$

6. Affine Killing fields

In Part I, the CMC hierarchy was obtained by extending the original CMC system by the higher-order commuting symmetries generated by the formal Killing field $\mathbf{Y}$. We wish to define a further extension of the CMC hierarchy by the (non-commuting) symmetries generated by the spectral Killing field $\mathcal{S}$.

We will find that the differential algebraic relations among the ingredients of the construction are consistent with the Lie algebra structure of the associated generalized affine

Kac-Moody algebras, denoted by $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$; subsequently, they will be packaged into the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$-valued extended Maurer-Cartan forms and the corresponding $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$-valued[5] affine Killing fields.

To this end, we give a definition of the generalized affine Kac-Moody algebras $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$ as the loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ enhanced by the truncated Virasoro algebras of even derivations, Defn.6.1, Defn.6.2. For an indication of the later construction, we then show that the triple of data $(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \mathbf{Y}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}})$ admit a lift to $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$-valued affine Killing field equations.

6.1. **Affine Kac-Moody algebras.** From the classification of Kac-Moody algebras, an affine Kac-Moody algebra is the central extension of a (twisted) loop algebra enhanced by a derivation, [3]. For our purpose, the relevant Lie algebra is the semi-direct product of the loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with a truncated Virasoro algebra of even derivations, §6.1.1. We shall abuse the terminology and call this an affine Kac-Moody algebra.

Since the central component does not contribute to nor affect the construction of the extended CMC hierarchy, it is postponed to §12.

6.1.1. *Truncated (centerless) Virasoro algebras.* Let the Euler operator

$$\mathcal{D} = \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}$$

now be considered as the operator acting on $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})[[\lambda^{-1}, \lambda]]$ as a derivation. Under the formal complex conjugation ($\lambda \to \lambda^{-1}$), note that

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}} = \lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda^{-1}} = \lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \lambda^{-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} = -\mathcal{D}.$$

For integers $\ell, k \geq 0$, let

$$\partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell} = -\lambda^{2\ell} \mathcal{D}, \qquad \partial_{\sigma_k} = \lambda^{-2k} \mathcal{D}.$$

Note the commutation relations

$$[\partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}, \partial_{\overline{\sigma}_s}] = (2\ell - 2s) \partial_{\overline{\sigma}_{\ell+s}}, \qquad [\partial_{\sigma_j}, \partial_{\sigma_k}] = (2j - 2k) \partial_{\sigma_{j+k}}. \tag{50}$$

Definition 6.1. Let $N \geq 0$ be a non-negative integer. The N**-truncated (centerless) Virasoro algebras** are defined by

$$\mathcal{V}\mathrm{ir}^{+}_{N+1} := \langle \partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell} \rangle_{\ell \geq N+1}, \qquad \mathcal{V}\mathrm{ir}^{-}_{N+1} := \langle \partial_{\sigma_k} \rangle_{k \geq N+1}. \tag{51}$$

They are called of positive/negative types respectively.

Let $\{\overline{\sigma}_\ell\}, \{\sigma_k\}$ be the 1-forms formally dual to $\{\partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}\}, \{\partial_{\sigma_k}\}$ respectively. Consider the generating series

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_+ := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} -\lambda^{2\ell} \overline{\sigma}_\ell, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_- := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-2k} \sigma_k.$$

Given the truncation parameter N, it is understood here that:

$$\boxed{\overline{\sigma}_\ell, \sigma_k = 0, \quad \forall\, \ell, k \leq N.}$$

[5] Up to scaling the loop algebra part by λ^{-1}. See §8.

For a uniform treatment, we retain the lower bound for the summation to be 0 instead of $N+1$.

Then, the structure equation for $\mathcal{V}\mathrm{ir}^{\pm}_{N+1}$ is written in terms of the generating 1-form by

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\pm} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\pm} \wedge \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{\pm} = 0. \tag{52}$$

Collecting the terms with respect to λ-degree, this gives the following formal structure equations for $\overline{\sigma}_\ell, \sigma_k$:

$$\mathrm{d}\overline{\sigma}_i = \sum_{\ell+s=i} (s-\ell)\overline{\sigma}_\ell \wedge \overline{\sigma}_s, \qquad \mathrm{d}\sigma_i = \sum_{j+k=i} (k-j)\sigma_j \wedge \sigma_k. \tag{53}$$

Note that they agree with (50).

6.1.2. *Affine Kac-Moody algebras.* The Lie algebras $\mathcal{V}\mathrm{ir}^{\pm}_{N+1}$ naturally act on $\mathfrak{g}$ as derivations.

Definition 6.2. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the twisted loop algebra (10). Given the truncation parameter $N \geq 0$, the associated (centerless) **affine Kac-Moody algebras** $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$ are defined as the semidirect product

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1} := \mathcal{V}\mathrm{ir}^{\pm}_{N+1} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}.$$

They are called of positive/negative types respectively.

6.2. **Affine lifts of Killing fields.** The formal Killing field $\mathbf{Y}$ and the spectral Killing field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ admit the *affine lifts* to the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$-valued Killing fields as follows.

Set

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = (0, \mathbf{Y}), \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}} = (\mathrm{e}^{u_\pm}, \mathrm{e}^{u_\pm}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}})$$

be the lifts of $\{\mathbf{Y}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\}$ respectively to the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$- valued functions, where

$$\mathrm{e}^{u_\pm} \in \mathbb{C}[[\lambda^{\pm 2}]]\lambda^{\pm(2N+2)}$$

(the first component is the derivation part). Set

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} = (0, \boldsymbol{\phi})$$

be the trivial lift of $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ to the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$-valued 1-form. Then it is easily checked that they satisfy the corresponding $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$-valued Killing field equations;

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\hat{\mathbf{Y}} + [\boldsymbol{\Phi}, \hat{\mathbf{Y}}] &= 0, \\ \mathrm{d}\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}} + [\boldsymbol{\Phi}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}] &= 0, \\ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Phi} + \frac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\Phi}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}] &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Note here the loop algebra component of the second equation gives

$$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{e}^{u_\pm}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}) + [\boldsymbol{\phi}, \mathrm{e}^{u_\pm}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}] - \mathrm{e}^{u_\pm}\dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = 0.$$

Up to scaling by $\mathrm{e}^{u_\pm}$, this agrees with Eq.(39).

7. Extension by non-commuting symmetries

As a first step toward the construction of the extended CMC hierarchy, we examine an extension of the structure equation for $\mathbf{Y}$ by the symmetries generated by $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$. The extension formulas are dictated by the commutation relation (48), §7.1.1. We check the partial compatibility and show that the additional equations commute with the original equations of the CMC hierarchy.

In view of the Lie algebra structure of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$, the extended structure equation for $\mathbf{Y}$ is suggestive of the corresponding extension of the Maurer-Cartan form $\boldsymbol{\phi}$. The full description of the extended CMC hierarchy will be given in §§8-10.

7.1. **Extension for Y.**

7.1.1. *Motivation.* Consider the decomposition

$$\lambda^{-2k}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} := \mathcal{S}_k + \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\leq -1} +^{vs} \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}.$$

From (48),

$$[\mathcal{S}_k + \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}, \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y}] + \lambda^{-2k}\dot{(\lambda^{-1}}\mathbf{Y}) = 0.$$

Hence

$$-[\mathcal{S}_k, \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y}] - \lambda^{-2k}\dot{(\lambda^{-1}}\mathbf{Y}) = [\mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}, \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y}] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}, \tag{55}$$

and the LHS of this equation has no $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq -1}$-part. Equivalently,

$$-[\mathcal{S}_k, \mathbf{Y}] - \lambda^{-2k}(\dot{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y}) = [\mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}, \mathbf{Y}] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}. \tag{56}$$

7.1.2. *Extension for* $\mathbf{Y}$. This observation suggests the following partial extension of the structure equation for $\mathbf{Y}$.

Recall the basis $\{\partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}\}_{\ell \geq N+1}, \{\partial_{\sigma_k}\}_{k \geq N+1}$ of the truncated Virasoro algebras $\mathcal{V}\mathrm{ir}^{\pm}_{N+1}$ respectively, §6.1.1. Consider the following extended structure equation for $\mathbf{Y}$:

(for $0 \leq m, n \leq N,\ N+1 \leq k, \ell$)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{t_m}\mathbf{Y} &= -[U_m, \mathbf{Y}], & \partial_{t_m}\overline{\mathbf{Y}}^t &= -[U_m, \overline{\mathbf{Y}}^t], \\ \partial_{\overline{t}_n}\mathbf{Y} &= +[\overline{U}^t_n, \mathbf{Y}], & \partial_{\overline{t}_n}\overline{\mathbf{Y}}^t &= +[\overline{U}^t_n, \overline{\mathbf{Y}}^t], \\ \partial_{\sigma_k}\mathbf{Y} &= -[\mathcal{S}_k, \mathbf{Y}] - \lambda^{-2k}(\dot{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y}), & \partial_{\sigma_k}\overline{\mathbf{Y}}^t &= -[\mathcal{S}_k, \overline{\mathbf{Y}}^t] - \lambda^{-2k}(\dot{\overline{\mathbf{Y}}}^t + \overline{\mathbf{Y}}^t), \\ \partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}\mathbf{Y} &= +[\overline{\mathcal{S}}^t_\ell, \mathbf{Y}] + \lambda^{+2\ell}(\dot{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y}), & \partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}\overline{\mathbf{Y}}^t &= +[\overline{\mathcal{S}}^t_\ell, \overline{\mathbf{Y}}^t] + \lambda^{+2\ell}(\dot{\overline{\mathbf{Y}}}^t + \overline{\mathbf{Y}}^t). \end{cases} \tag{57}$$

We claim that;

- the $\partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}, \partial_{\sigma_k}$-flows for $\mathbf{Y}$ commute with $\partial_{\overline{t}_n}, \partial_{t_m}$-flows respectively,

$$[\partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}, \partial_{\overline{t}_n}]\mathbf{Y} = 0, \quad [\partial_{\sigma_k}, \partial_{t_m}]\mathbf{Y} = 0.$$

- the differential operators $\partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}, \partial_{\sigma_k}$ respectively satisfy the Virasoro relations,

$$[\partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}, \partial_{\overline{\sigma}_s}]\mathbf{Y} = (2\ell - 2s)\partial_{\overline{\sigma}_{\ell+s}}\mathbf{Y}, \quad [\partial_{\sigma_j}, \partial_{\sigma_k}]\mathbf{Y} = (2j - 2k)\partial_{\sigma_{j+k}}\mathbf{Y}.$$

In this section, we verify only the first claim. This shows that the proposed system of equations (57) is indeed a (part of) symmetry extension of the CMC hierarchy. For the second claim, the $\partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}, \partial_{\sigma_k}$-derivatives of $\mathcal{S}$ need to be introduced, and this will be checked in the next section.

7.2. **Compatibility.** We show that

$$[\partial_{t_m}, \partial_{\sigma_k}]\mathbf{Y} = 0.$$

The relation $[\partial_{\overline{t}_n}, \partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}]\overline{\mathbf{Y}}^t = 0$ follows by taking the (obvious) formal conjugate transpose.

7.2.1. *Identities.* Assume for the moment that $k, \ell \geq 0$ are non-negative integers.

Lemma 7.1.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t_m}\mathcal{S}_k &= -[U_m, \mathcal{S}_k] - [U_m, \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}]_{\leq -1} + (\lambda^{-2k}\dot{U}_m)_{\leq -1}, \\ \partial_{t_{\overline{n}}}\mathcal{S}_k &= +[\overline{U}_n^t, \mathcal{S}_k]_{\leq -1} - (\lambda^{-2k}\dot{\overline{U}}_n^t)_{\leq -1}. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Proof. From the spectral Killing field equation

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{S} + [\phi, \mathcal{S}] = \dot{\phi},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t_m}(\mathcal{S}_k + \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}) &= -[U_m, \mathcal{S}_k + \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}] + \lambda^{-2k}\dot{U}_m, \\ \partial_{t_{\overline{n}}}(\mathcal{S}_k + \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}) &= +[\overline{U}_n^t, \mathcal{S}_k + \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}] - \lambda^{-2k}\dot{\overline{U}}_n^t. \end{aligned}$$

Collect the $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq -1}$-terms. □

Lemma 7.2.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\sigma_k}U_m &= -[\mathcal{S}_k, U_m] - [\mathcal{S}_k, U_{(m+1)}]_{\leq -1} - (2m + 2k + 1)U_{k+m} - \dot{U}_{k+m}, \\ \partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}U_m &= +[\overline{\mathcal{S}}_\ell^t, U_m]_{\leq -1} + \left(\lambda^{2\ell}((2m+1)U_m + \dot{U}_m)\right)_{\leq -1} \\ &= +[\overline{\mathcal{S}}_\ell^t, U_m]_{\leq -1} + (2m - 2\ell + 1)U_{m-\ell} + \dot{U}_{m-\ell}. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Proof. From the third equation of (57), substitute $\mathbf{Y} = 2\mathrm{i}\lambda^{2m+2}(U_m + U_{(m+1)})$ for the first two $\mathbf{Y}$'s, and $\mathbf{Y} = 2\mathrm{i}\lambda^{2k+2m+2}(U_{k+m} + U_{(k+m+1)})$ for the next $\dot{\mathbf{Y}}, \mathbf{Y}$. We have

$$\partial_{\sigma_k}(U_m + U_{(m+1)}) = -[\mathcal{S}_k, U_m + U_{(m+1)}] - (2m + 2k + 1)(U_{k+m} + U_{(k+m+1)}) - (\dot{U}_{k+m} + \dot{U}_{(k+m+1)}).$$

Collect the $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq -1}$-terms for $\partial_{\sigma_k}U_m$. The formula for $\partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}U_m$ is obtained in a similar way. □

Lemma 7.3.

$$[\mathcal{S}_k, U_m] + \left([\mathcal{S}_k, U_{(m+1)}] + [\mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}, U_m]\right)_{\leq -1} = -(2k + 2m + 1)U_{k+m} - \dot{U}_{k+m}. \tag{60}$$

Proof. From the spectral identity $[\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{Y}] = \mathbf{Y} - \dot{\mathbf{Y}}$, we have

$$[\mathcal{S}_k + \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}, U_m + U_{(m+1)}] = \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}}\lambda^{-(2k+2m+2)}(\mathbf{Y} - \dot{\mathbf{Y}}).$$

Substitute $\mathbf{Y} = 2\mathrm{i}\lambda^{2k+2m+2}(U_{k+m} + U_{(k+m+1)})$, then the RHS becomes

$$\mathrm{RHS} = -(\dot{U}_{k+m} + \dot{U}_{(k+m+1)}) - (2k + 2m + 1)(U_{k+m} + U_{(k+m+1)}).$$

Collect the $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq -1}$-terms. □

7.2.2. $[\partial_{t_m}, \partial_{\sigma_k}]\boldsymbol{Y} = 0$. We compute $\partial_{\sigma_k}\partial_{t_m}\mathbf{Y}$, and $\partial_{t_m}\partial_{\sigma_k}\mathbf{Y}$ in turn using the identities (58), (59). Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\sigma_k}\partial_{t_m}\mathbf{Y} = &\Big[[\mathcal{S}_k, U_m] + [\mathcal{S}_k, U_{(m+1)}]_{\leq -1} + (2m + 2k + 1)U_{k+m} + \dot{U}_{k+m}, \mathbf{Y}\Big] \\ &+ \Big[U_m, [\mathcal{S}_k, \mathbf{Y}] + \lambda^{-2k}(\dot{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y})\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

And,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{t_m}\partial_{\sigma_k}\mathbf{Y} = &\Big[[U_m, \mathcal{S}_k] + [U_m, \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}]_{\leq -1} - (\lambda^{-2k}\dot{U}_m)_{\leq -1}, \mathbf{Y}\Big] \\ &+ [\mathcal{S}_k, [U_m, \mathbf{Y}]] \\ &- \lambda^{-2k}[U_m, \mathbf{Y}] + \lambda^{-2k}\Big([\dot{U}_m, \mathbf{Y}] + [U_m, \dot{\mathbf{Y}}]\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Take the difference (62)−(61) using (60), and one gets

$$[\partial_{t_m}, \partial_{\sigma_k}]\mathbf{Y} = [(\lambda^{-2k}\dot{U}_m)_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{Y}].$$

Since $k \geq 0$ and $\dot{U}_m$ is $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq -1}$-valued, $(\lambda^{-2k}\dot{U}_m)_{\geq 0} = 0$ and the claims follows.

8. Extended CMC hierarchy

The identity (55) is suggestive of how to define the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$-valued extension of $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ and package the equations (57) into a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$-valued Killing field equation. We shall follow this idea and propose an extension of the CMC hierarchy in terms of the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$-valued Killing field equations for the affine lifts $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}$. The $\overline{\sigma}_l, \sigma_k$-derivatives of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$, the affine extension part, will follow from this (for free).

As remarked earlier, see below Rmk.5.1, the derivation part of the underlying Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$ is the Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{V}\mathrm{ir}^{\pm}_{N+1}$ which is truncated from below. This is partly due to the fact that $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ contains the intermediate portion which does not satisfy the Killing field equation (inhomogeneous portion). We will find analytically that this truncation of the Virasoro algebras is dictated by the constraints from the compatibility equations for the extended CMC hierarchy, (106).

8.1. **Definition.** Set the affine lifts of the Killing fields by

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} := (0, \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y}), \qquad (\lambda\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}\text{-valued}) \tag{63}$$
$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\pm} := (e^{u_{\pm}}, e^{u_{\pm}}\mathcal{S}), \qquad (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}\text{-valued})$$

where the conformal factors $u_{\pm}$ are to be determined. Set the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$-valued extended Maurer-Cartan forms by[6]

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_{\pm} := (\sigma_{\pm}, \ \hat{\phi}_{\pm}), \tag{64}$$
$$\sigma_+ := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} -\lambda^{2\ell}\overline{\sigma}_\ell, \qquad \sigma_- := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-2k}\sigma_k,$$
$$\hat{\phi}_+ := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} -\overline{\mathcal{S}}^t_\ell\overline{\sigma}_\ell + \phi, \qquad \hat{\phi}_- := \phi + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_k\sigma_k.$$

Definition 8.1. The **extended CMC hierarchy** is the system of differential equations,

$$d\hat{\mathbf{Y}} + [\mathbf{\Phi}_{\pm}, \hat{\mathbf{Y}}] = 0, \tag{65}$$
$$d\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\pm} + [\mathbf{\Phi}_{\pm}, \hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\pm}] = 0, \tag{66}$$
$$d\mathbf{\Phi}_{\pm} + \frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{\Phi}_{\pm}, \mathbf{\Phi}_{\pm}] = 0. \tag{67}$$

It states that $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}, \hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\pm}$ satisfy the Killing field equation for the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\pm}_{N+1}$-valued extended Maurer-Cartan forms $\mathbf{\Phi}_{\pm}$, and that $\mathbf{\Phi}_{\pm}$ satisfy the compatibility equation.

We mention that, modulo the additional $\overline{\sigma}_\ell, \sigma_k$-terms, these equations agree with the affine lifts described in §6.2.

We are now ready to state the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 8.1. *The system of equations* (65), (66), (67) *for the extended CMC hierarchy is compatible, i.e.,* $d^2 = 0$ *is a formal consequence of the structure equation.*

Before we proceed to the proof, let us introduce a convention for simplified notations.

8.2. **Notation.** For a uniform treatment, we introduce the dummy notations $(u, \hat{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma, \hat{\phi}, \mathbf{\Phi})$ without $\pm$-sign as follows.

Set

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}} := (e^u, e^u\mathcal{S}),$$

[6]Here it is understood that $\overline{\sigma}_\ell, \sigma_k = 0, \ \ \forall \ell, k \leq N$.

without ±-sign, where the conformal factor u is to be determined. Set

$$\mathbf{\Phi} := (\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}), \tag{68}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} -\lambda^{2\ell}\overline{\sigma}_\ell + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-2k}\sigma_k,$$
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} -\overline{\mathcal{S}}_\ell^t\overline{\sigma}_\ell + \boldsymbol{\phi} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_k\sigma_k.$$

It is understood that either

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_k = 0, \ \forall\, k, \text{ and all these objects are with (+)-sign,} \\ \quad \text{or} \\ \overline{\sigma}_\ell = 0, \ \forall\, \ell, \text{ and all these objects are with (−)-sign.} \end{cases}$$

We also use the dummy notation for the truncated Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{V}\mathrm{ir}_{N+1}$, and the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{N+1}$, etc.

8.3. **Extended structure equation for Y.** We check that Eq.(65) for $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$ agrees with Eq.(57).

By definition of the Lie bracket for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{N+1}$, Eq.(65) becomes

$$\mathrm{d}(\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y}) + [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y}] + (\dot{\lambda^{-1}}\mathbf{Y})\boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0.$$

Multiplying by λ, we get

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Y} + [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \mathbf{Y}] + (\dot{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y})\boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0. \tag{69}$$

This is equivalent to Eq.(57).

8.4. **Extended structure equation for $(u, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}})$.** We expand Eq.(66) to the structure equations for $(u, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}})$.

8.4.1. *Conformal factor u.* The derivation part of (66) gives

$$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{e}^u) - \mathrm{e}^u\dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} + (\dot{\mathrm{e}^u})\boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0.$$

Multiplying by e^{-u}, we get

$$\mathrm{d}u = +\dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} - \dot{u}\boldsymbol{\sigma}. \tag{70}$$

Recall the structure equation for $\mathcal{V}\mathrm{ir}_{N+1}$,

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \wedge \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = 0. \tag{71}$$

Eq.(70) is compatible with this structure equation.

8.4.2. *Spectral Killing field* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$. The loop algebra part of (66) gives

$$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{e}^{u}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}) + [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \mathrm{e}^{u}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}] + (\mathrm{e}^{u}\dot{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}})\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \mathrm{e}^{u}\dot{\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}} = 0.$$

Multiplying by e^{-u}, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} + [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}] + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\mathrm{d}u + (\dot{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}} + \dot{u}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}})\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \dot{\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}} \\ &= \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} + [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}] + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}(+\dot{\sigma}-\dot{u}\sigma) + (\dot{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}} + \dot{u}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}})\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \dot{\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The extended structure equation for $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ becomes

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} + [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}] + (+\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\dot{\sigma} + \dot{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}\sigma) = \dot{\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}}. \tag{72}$$

8.5. **Structure equation for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$.** The loop algebra part of (67) gives the following structure equation for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$:

$$\mathrm{d}\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \wedge \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = \dot{\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}} \wedge \boldsymbol{\sigma}. \tag{73}$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\mathrm{d}(\text{Eq.(69)}), \mathrm{d}(\text{Eq.(72)}) \equiv 0 \mod (71), (73).$$

In turn, it will be shown that Eq.(73) is compatible[7] with Eqs.(69), (71), (72).

9. Additional affine Killing fields

In addition to the affine extension for $\mathbf{Y}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$, we record in this section the affine extension for the additional Killing fields $\mathbf{P}_{\pm}$, the dressed Killing fields $\mathbf{V}_{\pm}$, and the dressed normalized spectral Killing field $\check{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}$. As a result, it will be shown that the entire dressing process from $(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{V}_{\pm}, \check{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}})$ to $(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{P}_{\pm}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}})$, and the algebraic formulas (43), (46) for $\check{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ admit the compatible affine extension, while preserving the Lie bracket relations among $\{\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{V}_{\pm}, \mathbf{P}_{\pm}, \check{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\}$.

9.1. $\det(\mathbf{Y})$**.** We claim that the determinant constraint

$$\det(\mathbf{Y}) = -4\gamma\lambda^2 \tag{74}$$

is compatible with the extended structure equation (69). We can therefore continue to impose (74) for the extended CMC hierarchy.

In order to verify this, note from (69) the identity

$$\mathrm{d}\left(\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y}\right)^2 = -\dot{\left(\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y}\right)}^2\boldsymbol{\sigma}.$$

Here we used the fact that $\mathbf{Y}^2 + \det(\mathbf{Y})I_2 = 0$, for $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{Y}) = 0$. Form this, one finds

$$\mathrm{d}\left(\det(\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y})\right) = -\left(\dot{\det(\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y})}\right)\boldsymbol{\sigma}.$$

[7] In Eq.(73), the terms of λ-degree $\neq 0$ are identity modulo Eqs.(69), (71), (72). The remaining terms of λ-degree 0 determine the structure equation for $\mathrm{d}\rho$, which is compatible with Eqs.(69), (71), (72).

This is compatible with (74).

From now on, we continue to assume the constraint (74) for the extended CMC hierarchy. This in particular allows one to use the adjoint operator $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathbf{Y}}$ and its eigen-matrices as before in the analysis of the (dressed) additional Killing fields for the extended CMC hierarchy.

9.2. **Extended structure equation for $\mathbf{P}_\pm$.** The Lie bracket relations (36) suggest the following as the affine lifts of the additional Killing fields $\mathbf{P}_\pm$:

$$\hat{\mathbf{P}}_+ := (0, \mathbf{P}_+), \tag{75}$$
$$\hat{\mathbf{P}}_- := (0, \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{P}_-).$$

The corresponding affine Killing field equations reduce to,

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_+ + [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \mathbf{P}_+] + \dot{\mathbf{P}}_+\sigma = 0, \tag{76}$$
$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_- + [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \mathbf{P}_-] + (\dot{\mathbf{P}}_- - \mathbf{P}_-)\sigma = 0.$$

It is easily checked that the extended structure equations (69), (76) are compatible with the Lie bracket relations (36) and the determinant formulas (38). Moreover, combined with the extended structure equation (72) for $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$, they are also compatible with the Lie bracket relations (47).

From now on, we continue to assume the algebraic relations (36), (38), (47) for the extended CMC hierarchy.

9.3. **Extended dressing.** Next, we derive the extended structure equations for the dressed Killing fields $\mathbf{V}_\pm$, (30). Then, it will be shown that this affine extension is also compatible with the algebraic formulas (43), (46) for $\check{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$.

Define $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ by the equation

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} - \check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} := \mathbf{Y}\alpha + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\sigma. \tag{77}$$

This extends the identity (14), and $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ defined here can be considered as an affine extension of $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ defined earlier for dressing.

Lemma 9.1.

a) By definition,

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Upsilon} + [\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}] = 0. \tag{78}$$

b) The $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}$-valued 1-form $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ satisfies the structure equation

$$\mathrm{d}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} + \check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \wedge \check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = 0.$$

Proof. a) Eq.(69) can be written as,

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Y} + [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\sigma, \mathbf{Y}] = \mathrm{d}\mathbf{Y} + [\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} + \mathbf{Y}\alpha, \mathbf{Y}] = 0.$$

b) Using (78),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}\hat{\phi} + \hat{\phi}\wedge\hat{\phi} - \dot{\hat{\phi}}\wedge\sigma &= \mathrm{d}\check{\phi} - [\check{\phi}, \mathbf{Y}]\wedge\alpha - ([\check{\phi} + \mathbf{Y}\alpha, \mathcal{S}] + \mathcal{S}\dot{\sigma} - \dot{\hat{\phi}})\wedge\sigma - \mathcal{S}\sigma\wedge\dot{\sigma}\\ &\quad + \check{\phi}\wedge\check{\phi} + [\check{\phi}, \mathbf{Y}]\wedge\alpha + [\check{\phi}, \mathcal{S}]\wedge\sigma + [\mathbf{Y}, \mathcal{S}]\alpha\wedge\sigma - \dot{\hat{\phi}}\wedge\sigma\\ &= \mathrm{d}\check{\phi} + \check{\phi}\wedge\check{\phi}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Recall from (28) the normalization

$$4e^{2\sigma^+}\mathbf{bc} = 1.$$

Let

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p} := \sqrt{\gamma}\left(\frac{\mathbf{b}\check{\phi}_2^1 + \mathbf{c}\check{\phi}_1^2}{\mathbf{bc}}\right) \tag{79}$$

be the affine extension of the non-local variable $\mathbf{p}$ defined in (29) (which is defined by the same formula). Define the corresponding extension of $\mathbf{V}_\pm$ by the same formula (30). Then, we have the following extension of Thm.4.3.

Theorem 9.2. *Let $\boldsymbol{V}_\pm$ be defined by* (79), (30). *They satisfy the Killing field equation for $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$,*

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{V}_\pm + [\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \boldsymbol{V}_\pm] = 0.$$

The set of three Killing fields $\{\boldsymbol{Y}, \boldsymbol{V}_\pm\}$ generates the space of $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}$-valued Killing fields for $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$.

Similarly as for $\{\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{P}_\pm\}$, we continue to assume the algebraic relations (31), (32), (33).

Let $\check{\mathcal{S}}$ be the corresponding extension of the dressed spectral Killing field defined by the same formula (43). Then,

Corollary 9.3. *The affine extension $\check{\mathcal{S}}$ satisfies the spectral Killing field equation for $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$,*

$$\mathrm{d}\check{\mathcal{S}} + [\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \check{\mathcal{S}}] = \dot{\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}}. \tag{80}$$

9.4. **Additional Killing fields for $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$.** Summarizing the analysis so far, the additional (formal) solutions to the Killing field equation for $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ can be obtained from $\{\mathbf{V}_\pm\}$.

Let

$$\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{P}_+\\ \mathbf{P}_-\end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix}\cosh(4\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda\mathbf{t}) & -\sinh(4\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda\mathbf{t})\lambda^{-1}\\ -\sinh(4\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda\mathbf{t})\lambda & \cosh(4\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda\mathbf{t})\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{V}_+\\ \mathbf{V}_-\end{pmatrix} \tag{81}$$

be the affine extension of the Killing fields $\mathbf{P}_\pm$ defined by the same formula as in (34). They also satisfy the algebraic relations (36), (37), (38).

Recall the affine lifts $\hat{\mathbf{P}}_\pm$, (75). Then we have the following extension of Thm.4.4.

Theorem 9.4. *Let $\hat{\boldsymbol{P}}_\pm$ be defined by* (75), (81), (79), (30). *They satisfy the Killing field equation for the affine Maurer-Cartan form $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$,*

$$\mathrm{d}\hat{\boldsymbol{P}}_\pm + [\boldsymbol{\Phi}, \hat{\boldsymbol{P}}_\pm] = 0.$$

Modulo $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}$, the set of three Killing fields $\{\hat{\mathbf{Y}}, \hat{\mathbf{P}}_\pm\}$ generates the space of $\mathcal{V}\mathrm{ir}_{N+1} \ltimes \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})[[\lambda^{-1},\lambda]]$-valued Killing fields for $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ whose loop algebra parts are of the form (35).[8]

Proof. The structure equations for $\mathbf{Y}$, (69), and $\mathbf{P}_\pm$, (76), are equivalent to

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Y} + [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\sigma, \mathbf{Y}] = 0, \tag{82}$$
$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_\pm + [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\sigma, \mathbf{P}_\pm] = 0.$$

Substitute (81), and Eqs.(82) reduce to the identity

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\sigma = \check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} + \mathbf{Y}\alpha. \tag{83}$$

□

Note also that the structure equation for $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$, (72), can be written as

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} + [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\sigma, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}] = \dot{\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\sigma\right)}. \tag{84}$$

Comparing this with (80), one gets the following extension of Thm.5.6.

Corollary 9.5. *The affine extension of the normalized spectral Killing field $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is given by the same formula* (46)*.*

This completes the affine extension of the entire dressing process.

9.5. **Non-Abelian integrable extension for p.** We wish to give an interpretation of the defining equation for the non-local variable $\mathbf{p}$. For the general reference on integrable extension, [5]

Recall the formula for $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}$,

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p} = \sqrt{\gamma}\left(\frac{\mathbf{b}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}^1_2 + \mathbf{c}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}^2_1}{\mathbf{bc}}\right). \tag{85}$$

Consider first the original (un-extended) CMC hierarchy case. From the equation

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} - \check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \equiv \mathbf{Y}\alpha \mod \sigma,$$

in this case the RHS of (85) consists of the terms in $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathrm{d}t_m\}$ and their complex conjugates only. It follows that the RHS represents an infinite sequence of local conservation laws for the CMC hierarchy, and $\mathbf{p}$ is the potential for the corresponding Abelian integrable extension.

For the extended CMC hierarchy case on the other hand, the RHS contains the terms involving $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$. The formulas (46), (43), (30) then imply that the RHS involves $\{\mathbf{p}, \dot{\mathbf{p}}\}$. Thus, the integrable extension for $\mathbf{p}$ defined by (85) is a non-Abelian extension.

[8] In this case, $\mathbf{Q}_0, \mathbf{Q}_\pm$ are $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})[[\lambda^{-1},\lambda]]$-valued.

10. Extended CMC hierarchy

For convenience, we collect here the whole structure equations for the extended CMC hierarchy.

[Structure equations for $\mathbf{Y}, \mathcal{S}, \hat{\phi}$]

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Y} + [\hat{\phi}, \mathbf{Y}] + (\dot{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y})\sigma = 0. \tag{86}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{S} + [\hat{\phi}, \mathcal{S}] + (+\mathcal{S}\dot{\sigma} + \dot{\mathcal{S}}\sigma) = \dot{\hat{\phi}}. \tag{87}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma + \sigma \wedge \dot{\sigma} = 0, \quad \mathrm{d}u = +\dot{\sigma} - \dot{u}\sigma. \tag{88}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\hat{\phi} + \hat{\phi} \wedge \hat{\phi} = \dot{\hat{\phi}} \wedge \sigma. \tag{89}$$

[Structure equations for $\mathbf{P}_\pm, \mathbf{V}_\pm, \check{\mathcal{S}}$]

$$\hat{\phi} - \mathcal{S}\sigma = \check{\phi} + \mathbf{Y}\alpha. \tag{90}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_\pm + [\hat{\phi} - \mathcal{S}\sigma, \mathbf{P}_\pm] = 0. \tag{91}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{S} + [\hat{\phi} - \mathcal{S}\sigma, \mathcal{S}] = \dot{\left(\hat{\phi} - \mathcal{S}\sigma\right)}. \tag{92}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{V}_\pm + [\check{\phi}, \mathbf{V}_\pm] = 0. \tag{93}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\check{\mathcal{S}} + [\check{\phi}, \check{\mathcal{S}}] = \dot{\check{\phi}}. \tag{94}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\check{\phi} + \check{\phi} \wedge \check{\phi} = 0. \tag{95}$$

[Algebraic relations]

$$[\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{P}_+] = 4\sqrt{\gamma}\mathbf{P}_-, \quad [\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{P}_-] = 4\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda^2\mathbf{P}_+, \quad [\mathbf{P}_+, \mathbf{P}_-] = \sqrt{\gamma}\mathbf{Y}, \tag{96}$$

$$\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{P}_+ = -\mathbf{P}_+\mathbf{Y} = 2\sqrt{\gamma}\mathbf{P}_-, \quad \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{P}_- = -\mathbf{P}_-\mathbf{Y} = 2\sqrt{\gamma}\lambda^2\mathbf{P}_+, \quad \mathbf{P}_+\mathbf{P}_- = -\mathbf{P}_-\mathbf{P}_+ = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\gamma}\mathbf{Y}.$$

$$\det(\mathbf{P}_+) = \gamma, \qquad \det(\mathbf{P}_-) = -\gamma\lambda^2, \qquad \det(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{a}^2 - 4\mathbf{bc} = -4\gamma\lambda^2 \tag{97}$$

(the same relations for $\{\mathbf{V}_\pm, \mathbf{Y}\}$).

$$\mathcal{S} = c_0\,\mathrm{ad}_{\mathbf{Y}}(\dot{\mathbf{Y}}) + c_+\,\mathrm{ad}_{\mathbf{P}_+}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_+) + c_-\,\mathrm{ad}_{\mathbf{P}_-}(\dot{\mathbf{P}}_-), \qquad \text{(the similar formula for } \check{\mathcal{S}}) \tag{98}$$

$$c_0 = +\frac{1}{32\gamma}\lambda^{-2}, \quad c_+ = -\frac{1}{8\gamma}, \quad c_- = \frac{1}{8\gamma}\lambda^{-2}.$$

$$\mathtt{t} = \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\lambda^{-(2m+2)}t_m, \qquad \dot{\mathtt{t}} + \mathtt{t} = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(2m+1)\lambda^{-(2m+2)}t_m. \tag{99}$$

$$\mathcal{S} = \left(\dot{\mathtt{t}} + \mathtt{t}\right)\mathbf{Y} + \check{\mathcal{S}}. \tag{100}$$

$$[\mathbf{P}_+, \mathcal{S}] = \dot{\mathbf{P}}_+, \quad [\mathbf{P}_-, \mathcal{S}] = \dot{\mathbf{P}}_- - \mathbf{P}_-, \quad [\mathbf{Y}, \mathcal{S}] = \dot{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y} \tag{101}$$

(the same relations for $\{\mathbf{V}_\pm, \check{\mathcal{S}}\}$).

$$[\mathcal{S}, \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y}] + (\dot{\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y}}) = 0. \tag{102}$$

10.1. **Formal sum.** Consider the original un-truncated CMC hierarchy, i.e., we set $u = 0, \boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0$, and let the truncation parameter $N \to \infty$. Let $\mathcal{T}_\infty = \{\partial_{t_m}\}_{m=0}^\infty, \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\infty = \{\partial_{\bar{t}_n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be the (commutative) Lie algebra of vector fields formally dual to the 1-forms $\{\mathrm{d}t_m\}_{m=0}^\infty, \{\mathrm{d}\bar{t}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ respectively.

From this point of view, the truncation process described in §2.1 amounts to restricting the CMC hierarchy to a submanifold which is tangent to the subalgebras of vector fields $\mathcal{T}_N = \{\partial_{t_m}\}_{m=0}^N, \overline{\mathcal{T}}_N = \{\partial_{\bar{t}_n}\}_{n=0}^N$ respectively. This essentially relies on the fact that the infinite dimensional Lie algebras $\mathcal{T}_\infty, \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\infty$ support such finite dimensional subalgebras.

On the other hand, from the structure equation (50) or (53), the Virasoro algebras $\mathcal{V}\mathrm{ir}_{N+1}^\pm$ do not appear to support any obvious family of finite dimensional subalgebras (of dimension ≥ 2). The finite truncation process in §2.1 is not as compatible for the non-commuting Virasoro algebras.

Under this circumstance, we wish to check if the structure equations presented above have any convergence related issues. For this purpose, we examine the dressed Maurer-Cartan form $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$, for the variable $\mathbf{p}$ is defined by (85) and it is used in the definition of $\check{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{S}$.

By definition (90),

$$\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \equiv \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} - \mathcal{S}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mod \mathrm{d}t_m, \mathrm{d}\bar{t}_n, \ \forall\, m, n.$$

Substitute (68), and we get

$$\begin{aligned}\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}} &\equiv \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty -\overline{\mathcal{S}}_\ell^t \overline{\sigma}_\ell + \sum_{k=0}^\infty \mathcal{S}_k \sigma_k - \mathcal{S}\Big(\sum_{\ell=0}^\infty -\lambda^{2\ell}\overline{\sigma}_\ell + \sum_{k=0}^\infty \lambda^{-2k}\sigma_k\Big) \mod \mathrm{d}t_m, \mathrm{d}\bar{t}_n, \ \forall\, m, n,\\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty (-\overline{\mathcal{S}}_\ell^t + \lambda^{2\ell}\mathcal{S})\overline{\sigma}_\ell + \sum_{k=0}^\infty -\mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}\sigma_k.\end{aligned}$$

Consider the σ_k-term. In this case, $\mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}$ is $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}$-valued. Hence for each λ-degree ≥ 0, the expression $\sum_{k=0}^\infty -\mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}\sigma_k$ contains an infinite sequence of σ_k-terms of the given degree.

Consider next the $\overline{\sigma}_\ell$-term. In this case, $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_\ell^t$ is $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}$-valued. Hence for each λ-degree ≥ 1, the expression $\sum_{\ell=0}^\infty (-\overline{\mathcal{S}}_\ell^t + \lambda^{2\ell}\mathcal{S})\overline{\sigma}_\ell$ also contains an infinite sequence of $\overline{\sigma}_\ell$-terms of the given degree.

From this, we conclude that the coefficients of the $\mathbb{C}[[\lambda^{-2}, \lambda^2]]$-valued 1-form $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}$ are defined generally as a formal sum. This implies that *the affine extension of the truncated CMC hierarchy presented above should generally be considered as a formal system of equations.*

11. Proof of compatibility

From (89), set

$$\mathrm{LHS} := \mathrm{d}\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \wedge \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = \dot{\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}} \wedge \boldsymbol{\sigma} =: \mathrm{RHS}. \tag{103}$$

The claim is that (for the terms of λ-degree $\neq 0$),

$$\mathrm{LHS} \equiv \mathrm{RHS} \mod (86), (87), (88).$$

We check only the $\mathrm{d}t_m\wedge\sigma_k$, $\mathrm{d}t_m\wedge\overline{\sigma}_\ell$, $\sigma_j\wedge\sigma_k$-terms. Compatibility of the remaining $\mathrm{d}\overline{t}_n\wedge\sigma_k$, $\overline{\sigma}_\ell\wedge\overline{\sigma}_s$-terms follow by taking the formal conjugate transpose.

The terms of λ-degree 0 in (103) determine the formula for $\mathrm{d}\rho$, the exterior derivative of the connection form ρ. This is treated in §11.4.

11.1. $\mathrm{d}t_m\wedge\sigma_k$**-terms.** These terms are checked in §7.2.2. Note that this part of the compatibility relies on the condition

$$k \geq 0. \tag{104}$$

11.2. $\mathrm{d}t_m\wedge\overline{\sigma}_\ell$**-terms.** The terms of λ-degree 0 contribute only to $\mathrm{d}\rho$, which will be checked later. We only consider the terms of λ-degree $\neq 0$. We wish to show that this part of the compatibility relies on the condition

$$\ell \geq N+1. \tag{105}$$

The RHS gives

$$\mathrm{RHS} = -\lambda^{2\ell}\dot{U}_m.$$

The LHS gives

$$-\partial_{t_m}\overline{S}^t_\ell - \partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}U_m + [\overline{S}^t_\ell, U_m].$$

Note the identity

$$\overline{(\lambda^{2\ell}\dot{U}_m)_{\geq 1}}^t = -(\lambda^{-2\ell}\dot{\overline{U}}^t{}_m)_{\leq -1},$$

i.e., the upper-dot and the formal complex conjugation operations anti-commute. Applying this to the conjugate transpose of (58), one gets

$$-\partial_{t_m}\overline{S}^t_\ell = [U_m, \overline{S}^t_\ell]_{\geq 1} - (\lambda^{2\ell}\dot{U}_m)_{\geq 1}.$$

From (59), we also have

$$-\partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}U_m = -[\overline{S}^t_\ell, U_m]_{\leq -1} - (\lambda^{2\ell}\dot{U}_m)_{\leq -1} - (2m+1)(\lambda^{2\ell}U_m)_{\leq -1}.$$

Collect the terms of λ-degree $\neq 0$. After cancellation, the desired compatibility reduces to,

$$(\lambda^{2\ell}U_m)_{\leq -1} = 0. \tag{106}$$

Since the CMC hierarchy is $t_N, \overline{t}_N$-truncated, the λ-degree of U_m is bounded below by $-(2N+1)$. This agrees with the constraint (105).

11.3. $\sigma_j\wedge\sigma_k$**-terms.** We first record a relevant identity for the σ_j-derivative of $\mathcal{S}_k$.

Lemma 11.1. *For all $j, k \geq 0$,*

$$\partial_{\sigma_j}\mathcal{S}_k - \partial_{\sigma_k}\mathcal{S}_j + [\mathcal{S}_j, \mathcal{S}_k] + (2k-2j)\mathcal{S}_{j+k} = \lambda^{-2k}\dot{\mathcal{S}}_j - \lambda^{-2j}\dot{\mathcal{S}}_k. \tag{107}$$

Proof. From (87), substitute $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} = \lambda^{2k}(\mathcal{S}_k + \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)})$ for the first two $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$'s, and substitute $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} = \lambda^{2j+2k}(\mathcal{S}_{j+k} + \mathcal{S}_{(j+k+1)})$ for the next two $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$'s. Collect the σ_j-terms, and one gets

$$\partial_{\sigma_j}(\mathcal{S}_k + \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}) + [\mathcal{S}_j, \mathcal{S}_k + \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}] + (\dot{\mathcal{S}}_{j+k} + \dot{\mathcal{S}}_{(j+k+1)}) + 2k(\mathcal{S}_{j+k} + \mathcal{S}_{(j+k+1)}) = \lambda^{-2k}\dot{\mathcal{S}}_j.$$

Collect the $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq -1}$-terms from this, and one gets

$$\partial_{\sigma_j}\mathcal{S}_k + [\mathcal{S}_j, \mathcal{S}_k] + [\mathcal{S}_j, \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}]_{\leq -1} + \dot{\mathcal{S}}_{j+k} + 2k\mathcal{S}_{j+k} = \lambda^{-2k}\dot{\mathcal{S}}_j.$$

Interchange j, k and take the difference, and one finds

$$\partial_{\sigma_j}\mathcal{S}_k - \partial_{\sigma_k}\mathcal{S}_j + 2[\mathcal{S}_j, \mathcal{S}_k] + ([\mathcal{S}_j, \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}] + [\mathcal{S}_{(j+1)}, \mathcal{S}_k])_{\leq -1} + (2k - 2j)\mathcal{S}_{j+k} = \lambda^{-2k}\dot{\mathcal{S}}_j - \lambda^{-2j}\dot{\mathcal{S}}_k. \tag{108}$$

From the trivial identity $[\mathcal{S}_j + \mathcal{S}_{(j+1)}, \mathcal{S}_k + \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}] = 0$, note

$$[\mathcal{S}_j, \mathcal{S}_k] + ([\mathcal{S}_j, \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}] + [\mathcal{S}_{(j+1)}, \mathcal{S}_k])_{\leq -1} = 0.$$

Hence (108) reduces to (107). □

Now, consider the $\sigma_j \wedge \sigma_k$-terms in (103). The RHS gives

$$\mathrm{RHS} = \dot{\mathcal{S}}_j \lambda^{-2k} - \dot{\mathcal{S}}_k \lambda^{-2j}.$$

The LHS gives

$$\mathrm{LHS} = \partial_{\sigma_j}\mathcal{S}_k - \partial_{\sigma_k}\mathcal{S}_j + [\mathcal{S}_j, \mathcal{S}_k] + 2(\mathrm{d}\sigma_i)_{\sigma_j \wedge \sigma_k}\mathcal{S}_i,$$

where $(\mathrm{d}\sigma_i)_{\sigma_j \wedge \sigma_k}$ means the coefficient of $\sigma_j \wedge \sigma_k$ in $\mathrm{d}\sigma_i$. The claim LHS=RHS follows from (107) and (53).

11.4. $\mathrm{d}\rho$**.** The formula for the 2-form $\mathrm{d}\rho$ is determined by the λ-degree 0 terms in (89). For the compatibility equation $\mathrm{d}^2\rho = 0$, it suffices to check that $\mathrm{d}^2 = 0$ is an identity for (89), assuming the compatibility of the rest of the equations. This follows from (89) itself and (71). We omit the details.

11.5. $\mathrm{d}h_2$**, and** $\mathrm{d}\xi$**.** The analysis thus far shows the compatibility of the $\bar{t}_N, t_N$-truncated $(-\overline{\mathrm{AKS}}^t, \mathrm{AKS})$-hierarchy extended by the $\mathcal{V}\mathrm{ir}^{\pm}_{N+1}$-symmetry, under the constraints that

$$\mathrm{d}\bar{t}_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\bar{h}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{\xi}, \quad \mathrm{d}t_0 = -\frac{1}{2}h_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi.$$

For the formulation of the extended CMC hierarchy, we wish to separate this to the structure equations for $\mathrm{d}h_2, \mathrm{d}\xi$ respectively.

Since the first coefficients c^2, b^2 of $\mathbf{Y}$ are multiples of $h_2^{\frac{1}{2}}, h_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ respectively, the formula for $\mathrm{d}h_2$ is included in (86) (and hence compatible). Then, $\mathrm{d}\xi$ is determined from the equations,

$$\begin{aligned}\xi &= -2h_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{d}t_0,\\ \mathrm{d}\xi &= h_2^{-\frac{1}{2}-1}\mathrm{d}h_2 \wedge \mathrm{d}t_0\\ &= -\frac{1}{2}h_2^{-1}\mathrm{d}h_2 \wedge \xi.\end{aligned}$$

The compatibility equation $\mathrm{d}^2\xi = 0$ follows from the compatibility of $\mathrm{d}h_2$.

We proceed to extract the formula for $\mathrm{d}h_2$, or $\mathrm{d}c^2$, from (57), by collecting the terms of λ-degree 1.

It is clear from the equation for $\partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}\mathbf{Y}$ in (57) that

$$\partial_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell} c^2 = 0, \ \forall\ \ell,$$

for $\dot{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y}$ has λ-degree ≥ 2.

From (56), we have

$$\partial_{\sigma_k}\mathbf{Y} = [\mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}, \mathbf{Y}].$$

Collect the terms of λ-degree 1, and one finds

$$\partial_{\sigma_k}(\mathbf{Y})_1 = [(\mathcal{S}_{(k+1)})_0, (\mathbf{Y})_1].$$

Here $(\mathbf{Y})_1$ means the terms of λ-degree 1 in $\mathbf{Y}$, etc.

Recall from (2),

$$(\mathbf{Y})_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & 2c^2 \\ 2b^2 & \cdot \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{i}\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{S}} & 2\mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{S}} \\ 2\mathbf{b}_{\mathcal{S}} & \mathrm{i}\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{S}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{109}$$

where

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathcal{S}} = \sum \lambda^{2n} a_{\mathcal{S}}^{2n+1}, \qquad \mathbf{b}_{\mathcal{S}} = \sum \lambda^{2n+1} b_{\mathcal{S}}^{2n+2}, \qquad \mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{S}} = \sum \lambda^{2n+1} c_{\mathcal{S}}^{2n+2}.$$

By definition $\lambda^{-2k}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{S}_k + \mathcal{S}_{(k+1)}$, and we have

$$(\mathcal{S}_{(k+1)})_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{i}a_{\mathcal{S}}^{2k+1} & \cdot \\ \cdot & \mathrm{i}a_{\mathcal{S}}^{2k+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this, we obtain

$$\partial_{\sigma_k} c^2 = -2\mathrm{i}a_{\mathcal{S}}^{2k+1} c^2. \tag{110}$$

Substitute $c^2 = \mathrm{i}h_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and one gets

$$\partial_{\sigma_k} h_2 = -4\mathrm{i}a_{\mathcal{S}}^{2k+1} h_2. \tag{111}$$

Proposition 11.2. *The extended structure equations for ξ, h_2 are,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\xi - \mathrm{i}\rho\wedge\xi &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a^{2m+3}\mathrm{d}t_m\wedge\xi + 2\mathrm{i}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{\mathcal{S}}^{2k+1}\sigma_k\wedge\xi, \\ \mathrm{d}h_2 + 2\mathrm{i}h_2\rho &= h_3\xi - 2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} h_2 a^{2m+3}\mathrm{d}t_m - 4\mathrm{i}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_2 a_{\mathcal{S}}^{2k+1}\sigma_k, \\ &= -2\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} h_2 a^{2m+3}\mathrm{d}t_m - 4\mathrm{i}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_2 a_{\mathcal{S}}^{2k+1}\sigma_k. \end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

Corollary 11.3. *The (formal) deformation of the CMC system induced by the Virasoro symmetries is conformal and preserves Hopf differential.*

12. Central extension

For an application of the affine extension of the CMC hierarchy, we examine the (missing) central parts of the extended Killing fields $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}$. The log of tau function is defined as the central component for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}$. We find a closed formula for tau function, (116).

12.1. **Central extension for $\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y}$.** Consider the 1-form

$$\varphi^0_{\mathbf{Y}} := \mathrm{Res}_{\lambda=0}\mathrm{tr}(\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y}\dot{\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}}). \tag{113}$$

Here $\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda=0}$ is the residue operator that takes the terms of λ-degree 0. Since $\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y}$ takes values in $\lambda\mathfrak{g}$, which is orthogonal to $\mathfrak{g}$, it is clear that

$$\varphi^0_{\mathbf{Y}} = 0.$$

Thus the central extension for $\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{Y}$ is trivial.

Consider instead the 1-form

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{Y}} := \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{Y}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} - \dot{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}\boldsymbol{\sigma})\right). \tag{114}$$

Since $\mathbf{Y}$ is also a Killing field for $\check{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$, and from the relation (83), it follows that

$$\mathrm{d}\varphi_{\mathbf{Y}} = 0.$$

For the original CMC hierarchy, the 1-form

$$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{Y}\dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}})$$

represents the infinite sequence of local, higher-order conservation laws. Thus $\varphi_{\mathbf{Y}}$ represents the affine extension of these conservation laws.

12.2. **Tau function.** Consider the 1-form

$$\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}} := -\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda=0}\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{u}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\dot{\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}}). \tag{115}$$

We claim that,

Lemma 12.1. *The 1-form $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}$ is closed,*

$$\mathrm{d}\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}} = 0.$$

Proof. We show that $\mathrm{d}\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}$ is the residue ($\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda=0}$) of a total derivative under the derivation $\mathcal{D} = \lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}$.

Differentiate $\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{u}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\dot{\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}})$ and collecting terms, one finds

$$-\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{u}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\dot{\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}})\right) = -\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{u}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\dot{\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}})\right) = \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{u}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\wedge\dot{\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}}\right).$$

□

Definition 12.1. The **tau function** τ for the extended CMC hierarchy is defined by the equation

$$\mathrm{d}\log(\tau) := \varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}.$$

Thus $\log(\tau)$ is the potential for the closed 1-form $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}$.

Here $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}, \tau$ are also the dummy notations without $\pm$-sign.

We wish to solve for τ. Recall the formula (49). It shows that for the un-extended truncated CMC hierarchy, for which we set $u = 0, \sigma = 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{d}(\det \mathcal{S}) = -\mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{S}\dot{\hat{\phi}}) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \tau = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda=0} \det \mathcal{S}}. \tag{116}$$

Based on this, one may solve for τ for the extended CMC hierarchy as follows.

Theorem 12.2. *For the extended CMC hierarchy, we have*

$$\mathrm{d}\Big(\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda=0}(\mathrm{e}^{u} \det \mathcal{S})\Big) = \varphi_{\mathcal{S}} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \boxed{\tau = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda=0}(\mathrm{e}^{u} \det \mathcal{S})}.} \tag{117}$$

Proof. Eq.(92) implies (for $\mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{S}) = 0$ and $\mathcal{S}^2 + \det(\mathcal{S}) I_2 = 0$),

$$\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{S}^2) = \mathcal{S}\Big(\hat{\phi} - \dot{\mathcal{S}}\sigma\Big) + \Big(\hat{\phi} - \dot{\mathcal{S}}\sigma\Big)\mathcal{S}.$$

Scale by e^{u}, and from (88) one finds

$$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{e}^{u}\mathcal{S}^2) = -(\mathrm{e}^{u}\dot{\mathcal{S}}^2\sigma) + \mathrm{e}^{u}(\mathcal{S}\dot{\hat{\phi}} + \dot{\hat{\phi}}\mathcal{S}). \tag{118}$$

The LHS of (117) follows by applying the operator $-\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda=0}\mathrm{tr}$. □

References

[1] L. A. Dickey, *Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems*, second ed., Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics, vol. 26, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2003.

[2] Daniel Fox and Joe S. Wang, *Conservation laws for surfaces of constant mean curvature in 3-dimensional space forms*, arXiv:1309.6606 (2013).

[3] Victor G. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras.*, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[4] Maxim Kontsevich, *Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function.*, Commun. Math. Phys. **147** (1992), no. 1, 1–23.

[5] I. S. Krasil'shchik and A. M. Vinogradov, *Nonlocal trends in the geometry of differential equations: symmetries, conservation laws, and Bäcklund transformations*, Acta Appl. Math. **15** (1989), no. 1-2, 161–209, Symmetries of partial differential equations, Part I.

[6] J. Luis Miramontes, *Tau-functions generating the conservation laws for generalized integrable hierarchies of KdV and affine Toda type.*, Nucl. Phys., B **547** (1999), no. 3, 623–663.

[7] Motohico Mulase, *Complete integrability of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation*, Adv. Math. **54** (1984), 57–66.

[8] H. Nicolai, *Two-dimensional gravities and supergravities as integrable systems*, Recent Aspects of Quantum Fields (H. Mitter and H. Gausterer, eds.), Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. 396, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1991, pp. 231–273.

[9] A.M. Semikhatov, *Virasoro Action and Virasoro Constraints on Integrable Hierarchies of the r-Matrix Type*, arXiv:hep-th/9112016 (1991).

[10] Chuu-Lian Terng and Karen Uhlenbeck, *Tau function and Virasoro action for the nxn KdV hierarchy*, arXiv:1406.5240 (2014).

[11] ———, *Tau functions and Virasoro actions for soliton hierarchies*, arXiv:1405.4043 (2014).

[12] P. van Moerbeke, *Integrable foundations of string theory*, Lectures on integrable systems (Sophia-Antipolis, 1991), World Sci. Publ., 1994, pp. 163–267.

[13] Edward Witten, *Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space.*, Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. I: Proceedings of the conference on geometry and topology, held at Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA, April 27-29, 1990, Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society; Bethlehem, PA: Lehigh University, 1991, pp. 243–310.

[14] Chao-Zhong Wu, *Tau Functions and Virasoro Symmetries for Drinfeld-Sokolov Hierarchies*, arXiv:1203.5750 (2012).

[15] ———, *From additional symmetries to linearization of Virasoro symmetries.*, Physica D **249** (2013), 25–37.

E-mail address: jswang12@gmail.com